The Greatest Academic Fraud of all Time

Fast Factoids: Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2:

- displays a beautiful irony in it's title
- was, according to the authors, purpose-written to quell *conspiracy theories* around a possible lab-leak (theories originated by Chinese netizens, btw
- was funded by NIH/Francis Collins (H3Africa co-funder) and Wellcome (Farrar)
- draft, according to Garry, *was complete .. **Feb 1st*** - same date as authors held strategy-session conference call with Farrar and Fauci. (Flashback: On **Jan 31**, Andersen (lead author) emailed Farrar/Fauci/Collins saying *it looks engineered*. That means - *literally overnight* - the authors changed their thinking 180 degrees, then re-researched/re-wrote/re-edited to - *first draft* - delivered (at MOU's Feb 1 teleconference) a paper that *clearly show(s) SARS-CoV-2 is **not** a laboratory construct or a purposefully manipulated virus*.)
- Or …they made it up.

  1707 citations so far - mostly positive ones. An altmetric score of 36,768 - which blows the previous world record of 11,980 out of the water. Once Prox O breaks new ground as *Exhibit A* in the cover-up - which it's about to - all bets are off mega-platformed (via Nature, Guardian, CNN et al media) the pangolin/wetmarket discourse to *irrefutably show* Covid couldn't possibly be a lab-leak.

All this In *the* crucial nascent period. Where if we'd known it was a GoFFed-up lab bug on the loose - we could have reacted accordingly.

But wait - there's a silver lining!

Nature, Sep 2020: *Through the **'Solidarity' trials**, the WHO has launched a global campaign to test therapeutic agents and vaccines on an unprecedented scale5.*

No, we'll get to that in a different thread *Nature*, but we're not going to be diverted from this question:

Was Proximal Origin knowingly concocted/pro-moed with the full-might of One-Everything - to cover up the origin of Covid?

Revision #6
Created 2022-01-13 10:18:11 UTC by dulandrift
Updated 2022-02-19 02:04:54 UTC by dulandrift