

# The Greatest Academic Fraud of all Time

Fast Factoids: Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2:

- displays a beautiful irony in it's title
- was, according to the authors, purpose-written to quell *conspiracy theories* around a possible lab-leak (theories originated by Chinese netizens, btw)
- was funded by NIH/Francis Collins (H3Africa co-funder) and Wellcome (Farrar)
- draft, according to Garry, *was complete .. Feb 1st* - same date as authors held strategy-session conference call with Farrar and Fauci. (Flashback: On **Jan 31**, Andersen (lead author) emailed Farrar/Fauci/Collins saying it looks engineered. That means - *literally overnight* - the authors changed their thinking 180 degrees, then re-researched/re-wrote/re-edited to - *first draft* - delivered (at MOU's Feb 1 teleconference) a paper that *clearly show(s) SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct or a purposefully manipulated virus.*)
- Or ...they made it up.  
1707 citations so far - mostly positive ones. An altmetric score of 36,768 - which blows the previous world record of 11,980 out of the water. Once Prox O breaks new ground as *Exhibit A* in the cover-up - which it's about to - all bets are off  
mega-platformed (via Nature, Guardian, CNN et al media) the pangolin/wetmarket discourse to *irrefutably show* Covid couldn't possibly be a lab-leak.

All this In *the* crucial nascent period. Where if we'd known it was a GoFFed-up lab bug on the loose - we could have reacted accordingly.

But wait - there's a silver lining!

Nature, Sep 2020: *Through the '**Solidarity**' trials, the WHO has launched a global campaign to test therapeutic agents and vaccines on an unprecedented scale<sup>5</sup>.*

No, we'll get to that in a different thread *Nature*, but we're not going to be diverted from this question:

Was Proximal Origin knowingly concocted/pro-moed with the full-might of One-Everything - to cover up the origin of Covid?

---

Revision #6

Created 13 January 2022 10:18:11 by dulanrft

Updated 19 February 2022 02:04:54 by dulanrft