

# Thank-you Lancet, Billy, Dan

(Under reconstruction) If your quest is to trace back the Covid Cover-up Conspiracy to its source material, you wind up with two documents:

## ***The Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2***

### ***The Lancet Statement***

The old *one-two*.

They are both *dear to my heart*, coz, they formed my *entry-point* into: *Investigating the Origin of Covid*

Here's how it went down:

**Jan 24, 2020:** Dan [started a thread](#) on Formosahut about *nCov*. We (/he, firstly) began noticing/recording some striking anomalies.

In early Feb, I mentioned a couple of these to my sister, Emeritus Professor in Microbiology at Melbourne University at the time.

The reaction was oddly bristling. That took me aback - somewhat. Luckily/unluckily, i've grown to recognize *oddly bristling* as a symptom of ... : *something* ... (deeper - often related to insecurity ...)

[Sent her a Feb 23 story](#) from the Taipei Times on a scientists' symposium in Taiwan.

**Fang Chi-tai (方奇泰), National Taiwan University**, *mutations of viruses that occur naturally only result in small, singular changes. One would not normally see a naturally mutated virus **suddenly take on four amino acids**.*

*While such a large mutation is not impossible, it is **highly unlikely**.* (bold added)

### **Email from:**

*Interesting hypothesis.*

*I haven't see any support for the idea.*

*The mutation of the corona to add 4 aa (amino acids) is unusual – but certainly not impossible.*

**Email to:** *Technically, those who raised questions about its origin at an NTU conference (Taiwan's leading uni) were also scientists from Taiwan and France. So that **is** support for the idea.*

Which means it's a case of scientists accusing fellow scientists of being conspiracy theorists?


## Email back:

The expert (sic) don't believe in a conspiracy

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)30418-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)30418-9/fulltext)

The relevant 4 amino acids are different between SARS-1 and SARS-2 => see below.  
But the evolution pattern is clear. See below.

Cheers



I clicked on the link. Innocently. Not comprehending the journey it was about to send me on. It was:

## The Lancet Statement

I read it. It's not very long. Main point: 27 world-leading experts swearing on their profession as *The Scientists* that the *The CCP* totalitarian regime is:

### **open and transparent**

The language, is weirdly over-the-top - **condemning conspiracy theorists** - raving about **unity/solidarity/standing together**.

Email back. Don't sign that. It's unscientific to demanding (sic) solidarity with China (notorious for censoring/distorting information) on a subject that's still under investigation, and to be howling down any dissenting view as a conspiracy.

That was our last email exchange.

Somewhere into our next Zoom-call, which my sister has enthusiastically taken to, i mention that i read the Lancet Statement.

*Standing together with whom? I ask. CCP-Totalitarianism? It's a top-security lab - you don't think there'd be letting-*

*As scientists, we don't play politics, we report the facts - politics is not part of it. International scientists collaborate on a purely scientific level.*

*Yeah - no. Take it from someone who has lived next-door to China for 23 years. Whatever you do - Don't sign that. & stop disseminating it! It's very political - demonstrably false.*

*How is it false?*

*How is it false? The CCP are open and transparent about **not** being open and transparent. Those 27-experts are pedalling disinformation on CCP's behalf. Stay away from it. You will get badly burned.*

*Ok, look, I'm sick of talking about coronavirus - I do it all day - it's everywhere. Let's change the topic.*

The next conversation, a few weeks later, *The Topic*, in *The World*, was still *Covid*. During the then-Zoom conversation (which had suddenly exploded (which my sister enthusiastically took to)), she sent an [animated cartoon](#) praising China's transparency/response/lockdown mentality - ridiculing the US's/Trump's.

Having watched it, i asked: *Why are you sending me CCP propaganda?*

That's not CPP propaganda.

*Looks exactly like it.*

Her partner, was also on the Zoom-call. He fact-checked it as we spoke.

*Actually it is. It does say this was produced by the PRC.*

*Anyway, let's not talk about Covid.*

Now that i re-check, that propaganda was [platformed/plugged by the ABC](#). Why is a tax-payer funded org spreading CCP propaganda? (Edit: More than 2 years later - there's still *no evidence* of the ABC having done a single investigative piece on the origin of the most impactful event of our lifetimes. What does that mean?) (Re-edit, more than 5&half years later, there's still no evidence of the ABC having done a single investigative piece on the origin of the most impactful story of our lifetimes.)

The cartoon was followed up with a derogatory article about a [5-G protest](#) - linking Covid (corona back then) to 5G - haha! Let's get out the tin-foil hats! It was meant as a Strawman-style insult - though if you click on the link the protesters are saying 5G depletes immunity - therefore makes people more susceptible to disease. That's not totally crazy thinking. ([paper in Nature](#) (of all places) on the subject):

Next phone conversation was our last. By then i'd dug a bit deeper.

*Do you know that WIV was in fact doing GoF research on coronaviruses?*

*Maybe they are, so what? It's a high-security lab. There's no chance of an escape. I work in these environments.*

*Not maybe, definitely, Shi Zheng-li has published papers on it.*

Look, anyone who knows anything about epidemiology knows that Coronavirus is natural in Origin. I really don't need to talk with you about this subject.

I agreed to disagree about being banned. Asked: *Why do scientists have so little interest in discovering the truth?* Her last words to me *I'm sick to death of hearing about your boring conspiracy theories.*

The End.

That's sad. Another silent casualty of Covid. I know this person is well-intentioned at heart. Part of this journey is trying to understand how good people came to behave in reactionary ways - to cover-up the truth. Then figure out how to bring them back - to re-communicate - in a way that doesn't vilify them - but - where the past is not swept under the carpet. That can't be the answer. We can't jump from censorship/ridicule to

- *we've moved on* -

In order to move-on, you've gotta be there in the first place . I can't move on from Timbuktu, for example, coz i've never been there.

First job for society is to develop a space where questions are allowed to be asked about this event that changed every human's life. Let's get there, set up camp, explore - then we can talk about how to move on. Otherwise we're moving on to the exact thing we need to move away from.

If the system is broken, which it is - we can still fix it.

But i'm getting ahead of things. The point is: by the time i'd digested The Lancet Statement, i knew:

this new virus is a lab-leak

There was/is no other reason for scientists to be issuing blatantly unscientific statements.

I checked a paper that the Lancet Statement cited in support of its premise - it was called *Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2*.

Welcome to The Rabbit Warren

Started researching/[writing/discussing Prox O on Formosahut](#) with Dan - first posted on May 18, 2020. Don't know how many drill-down referenced analyses of Prox O & its authors' COI's there were at that time - not many - none that i saw - by world-leading scientists or not.

It was quickly clear the pangolin premise was rubbish, but the fascinating part was the authors who concocted it. The COI's were glaring.

Formosahut is a tiny forum - it's Dan and I basically -with a small readership - the recess of the *recesses of the internet*. Privately, I posted extensively on Lipkin's and Holmes's Wikipedia pages - painstakingly referencing every statement - no personal opinions - no adjectives - mainly using their own words. Information only. I thought it would be bullet-proof for those reasons, controversial as it was. It lasted two weeks. Pretty sure Lipkin and Holmes saw it. Lipkin in particular went from *all-over-the-media-like-a-rash* to radio silence.

After that it was like being attacked by those octopus machines from Matrix. The lead Wikipedia vandal was a user called Thucydides411 - but he had significant back-up. I was overwhelmed - blanked n blocked - with the usual conspiracy theorist name-calling. If you accept that the United Front Work Dept is a well-organized force, then you'll know that Wikipedia is a prime medium to infiltrate/control. What it did show is:

This is an information war.

On one side: the so called *right-wing nutter conspiracy theorists*, who calmly present referenced information, ask reasonable questions.

On the other side: the so-called *progressives*, who get angry, resort to name-calling, refuse to discuss details, use their power to censor/ban the discussion. Then replace it with misinformation - telling themselves it's for the common good.

This is the world we are living in.

In some ways it was satisfying to hit a nerve - but being shut down at every turn when all you're trying to do is investigate what the fuck happened here - it's not pleasant.

Then - out of the blue - some contact came the other way - an intrepid Covid-origin researcher somehow found me. I knew he was intrepid coz no-one else had done it. That was:

[@Billy Bostickson](#) from Drastic - the Great Man (though i didn't know who he was at the time)

Rabbit warrening - *lonely* is not the right word - a lot of it's exciting - some of it is very funny - but you are *alone* - in the warren. You lose touch with some stuff - it's normal. The wall of

rejection/censorship when you try to share your findings - there's a reason why they call it *the wall*. (At first i couldn't work it out - why is the media refusing to report the story of the millennium when the facts are staring them in the face? Wouldn't that run against their instincts?) But that's ok, the thing about a challenge is you're not meant to be happy all the time - if you are - it's not a challenge.

But you are *happy* knowing there's someone in the *real world* shining a light. With others - a hardworking network. Showing leadership/energy/positivity. People have different abilities to different extents - Billy is Olympic-standard at *light-shining* - right when the world needed it - when the people we had trusted to do it - the experts - were betraying that principle.

Billy could retire tomorrow, play golf, grow tomatoes, history will immortalize him. Unless it turns out like the [edited CCP ending](#) to *Fight Club* - in which case he's fucked...



The censorship on the origin of Covid persists to this day. There's still been no open-investigation anywhere as to who is directing it or why. No-one has been held to account.

The outright vilification of origin-researchers has dropped off though - skipped a beat as a sentiment - but barely - before re-deployment to the vaccine-hesitant.

Meanwhile, the Covid Atrocity presents two challenges:

1. Expose the conspiracy to commit fraud
2. Prevent the desired outcomes of the frauders from becoming entrenched reality

The second one is the hardest - the most important - the most urgent.

It's no good proving Covid was a lab-exit if the perps get everything they wanted - more funding for a global bio-state - run by One-Everything.

---

Revision #26

Created 15 January 2022 01:50:11 by dulanndrift

Updated 21 November 2024 08:07:25 by dulanndrift