

I Spy

Wellcome website: *Wellcome is an independent global charitable foundation dedicated to improving health through research. Although the way we deliver our mission has evolved since 1936, science has always been at the heart of it.*

Wellcome: *Henry Wellcome became a wealthy and prominent figure in the growth of the modern pharmaceutical industry. .. After his death in 1936 .. the company became the property of the newly formed Wellcome Trust.*

Farrar (16:00): *Sir Henry Wellcome (who formed, then sold out of GlaxoSmithKline) left his whole endowment to us uhhmm .. he was one of the first people to put tea infusions into tablets - that's how the money was made and in the late 1980's a **visionary leader** of Wellcome sold the company and created the **independent** foundation..*

*Independent visionaries. Sounds nice - same as Bill&Melinda - but what does it really mean? Two closely aligned, super rich foundations controlling the purse strings on a corrupt/broken funding system - that has engineered - with *no constraints* - the bio-state we now find ourselves living in. They have become a law unto themselves - which in turn has become a law unto us.*

Wellcome: *Successful products included antivirals such as AZT, the first drug approved to treat HIV.*

(Watched Dallas Buyers Club again recently - don't know how accurate it is, but AZT was portrayed as an expensive poison (when administered at the original high-doses) being pushed by corrupt big-Pharma/FDA - which was simultaneously denying patients other remedies. Didn't realize AZT was a Wellcome Trust product, though. Some eerie similarities to today - worth a second viewing.)

Farrar (16:10): *(S)o we are in this **incredibly privileged position** of having our own endowment which is about **26 - ahr - 27 billion pounds** .. and ahr we are **independent**, we have our own governing board, ahr, so **we have none of the constraints** that we appreciate you have here (at NIH).*

Independent global bio-power - incredibly privileged - no constraints.

Wellcome: *Our investment portfolio gives us the independence to support such transformative work as the sequencing and understanding of the human genome, research that established front-line drugs for malaria, and Wellcome Collection, our free venue for the incurably curious that explores medicine, life and art. www.wellcome.ac.uk.*

Wellcome website:

- 1995: Wellcome Plc is bought by Glaxo to form **GlaxoWellcome**, which later becomes GlaxoSmithKline
- 1995: The Wellcome Trust - or now just **Wellcome** - has become an independent charitable foundation

(1995: Jeremy Farrar appointed to Vietnam - sponsored by Wellcome Trust)

Wellcome Trust is political - we know that coz Farrar told us - as Director of Wellcome he regularly uses the bio-tech speaking circuit to rally the troops. Behind the scenes he chairs: an **informal group** called the Heads of International Research Organizations.

But is Wellcome an unofficial, independent international intelligence gathering organization with a global security agenda?

Has it crossed over into the realm of *private spy-force to prosecute that political agenda*? I'm about to argue it has.

Wellcome Trust:

- has operations in over 100 countries
- collects and centralizes data/intelligence - loves the stuff - knows it's the future
- vigorously prosecutes a globalization agenda
- promotes/employs bio-surveillance technology
- was mixed up in Xinjiang collaborations with CCP institutions
- was mixed up in Tekmira's aborted Ebola trial in Sierra Leone
- funds/pursues dual-purpose defense/weapons genomics/AI technologies - in US, China (!), Africa - including research at WIV
- is directed by Sir Jeremy Farrar, *Mystery Man* from 17-years in Vietnam, where he worked hand-in-hand with a totalitarian regime

In many Asian, African, and South American countries, Wellcome (and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation) would represent *the main foreigner organizations on the ground*. (Interestingly, its in those continents, where, according to Wellcome's own poll (PDF, p53), that *trust in scientists* is rock-bottom with only 1 in 10 people saying they have a high trust. The low vax-rate in Africa, well under 10% in most countries, is not due to western hoarding, as we're led to believe - it's because Africans simply don't trust scientists - due to previous, bad experiences.)

If you are a spy, you don't rock up to a country - start spying - you need a cover-occupation. Additionally, modern-day spying doesn't even require traditional spies as such - it's all about data control.

Theoretically at least, Wellcome would provide a perfect vehicle for intelligence gathering in terms of its international penetration - its massive resources, it's data-gathering/centralization platform.

As we saw with Anthrax (and long before that - WW2 was the inflection point) scientists have become accustomed to pulling up chairs at the National Security Councils of the world.

If our current crop of Covid-scientists are not involved in Secret Security, then why are their FOI emails redacted?

dack-the-redactions

Hand over all the scientific correspondence for that matter: Farrar's, Collins's, Daszak's, Lipkin's, Nature's, Lancet's etc - all of those scientists involved in concocting the original Covid narration. The Feb 1 meeting was a live teleconference - it'll be there in the cloud somewhere. Let's take a look at that!

Why not? You keep telling us it's science - not politics - so what are you afraid of? The truth?

Why doesn't the taxpayer have a right to know *the premise* behind the actions decided on in that Feb 1 meeting - that *changed the world*.

If not now when?

Revision #11

Created 6 January 2022 03:30:42 by dulandrifft

Updated 26 January 2023 08:52:42 by dulandrifft