

Faith in Science

The day i realized i had a *faith in science* was the day i stopped believing.

Science is not supposed to be a religion. Why am i believing in it? It's meant be observed details - that can be verified - or not.

The reality is you kinda have to. A normal person doesn't have time to research, verify the pronouncements of world-leading scientists - we have to trust them. Many, not all, scientists trade off that trust to move the relationship with the public into a mystical world.

This shift towards a religious movement comes right from the top. Farrar is our shepherd-in-chief. He peppers his speeches with exhortations to *believe* - artfully delivers rallying calls to those who [truly, truly believe](#).

[Desert Island intro: \[00:01:39\]](#): *Now after a lifetime at the frontline of medicine, he's a **believer** in the benefits of becoming **comfortable with and effective during periods of uncertainty**. He says there are **no rules**. There are **no textbooks to follow** here. These are judgement calls made in the very best **faith**.*

Lipkin talks of [preaching in the wilderness](#) about his:

... [international surveillance system](#) - *this is the future for us - (31:40) this is what will keep us secure on the planet - this is what we need...*

Another telltale sign of zealotry is the desire to vilify a perceived enemy. In *Lord of the Flies* it's interesting to note that the boys didn't bother too much with inventing a God - the Devil was way more important - a powerful force for shaping/controlling the culture - with the inventors/narrators of the devil conveniently installed at the top.

For Farrar the devil is the anti-globalization and/or the vaccine/lose-all-my-freedoms-hesitant movements - the great threat to the *One-Everything* dream. As an establishment leader, he warns it is *eroding trust in institutions ...* (which is) *exacerbated by social media* - which in turn - acted - upon the direction of the Lancet Statement and Prox O scientists, which Farrar coordinated, to censor/ban such talk. That's using your clout to be as proactive with manipulating the future of humanity as you can get.

There's saying it, but then there's doing it. Who's prepared to step-up and do the dirty work?

Farrar, Daszak, Lipkin, Holmes, Andersen led the way in using the same platforms that banned their inquisitors to vilify the *conspiracy theorists* and *crackpots*. Lipkin yearned for ways to [choke](#)

[them.](#)

Part of Jeremy's PR skill-set is getting that *social media* is - *a real thing* - which needs to be monitored/influenced - or it will run-you-the-fuck-over. [Holmes reports:](#) *Jeremy Farrar, director of the Wellcome Trust, certainly was very vocal in that.*

For a long while the cohort was successful. With full-backing from the world's media/social media giants - except Joe Rogan - he's a media giant - has become one - coz he's been a voice of sanity as our Celebrity Scientists played to the dark-urges of humans. Lords of the Flies - using their power to ostracize/demonize those who question them. Joe Rogan asks questions. To get to the truth. So radical! But it connects with a lot of people. Must be human curiosity.

Revision #9

Created 29 December 2021 22:27:30 by dulanndrift

Updated 9 February 2022 23:30:03 by dulanndrift