

Dack the Redactions

First principle of Covid:

*no evidence - **is** evidence*

It's evidence that the truth is being concealed in the well-founded hope that in 10 years time no-one will know or care about the origin. People will vaguely remember something about bats & pangolin - *or was it civets? - there was some kind of conspiracy theorist questioning wasn't there? - but then we moved on -* in the end it was never really settled.

That's a pity - coz tracing the origin is important. Even better it's:

[easy](#)

Despite what we're told. Dozens of people know for sure already. The only reason we don't know is coz those that do know are withholding the information.

For example: the [total redaction of what was discussed at the Feb 1 Teleconference](#) (PDF, p3133) - where the fate of the world was decided by public-funded health officials - is a classic. Everyone on that call *knows what happened*. We could likely solve the origin of Covid simply by unredacting correspondence of those scientists who decreed the world's response to the outbreak. Why are their discussions - science discussions about a subject that affected us all - so secretive?

Ron Fouchier, the world's top GoF-shot, wrote a 2-page bullet point review. Can we see that one?

Image not found or type unknown




Image not found or type unknown





Image not found or type unknown



Note: I've redacted another full page of redactions from Ron's notes. You didn't miss much. Except we did.

Or this one from Farrar?

From : Jeremy Farrar

Sent : Sunday, February 2, 2020 4 :48 AM

Image not found or type unknown





Image not found or type unknown




Below: Farrar to Feb 1 Teleconferencers.

zaterdag 1 februari 2020 om 21:59

Image not found or type unknown





Yeah - no. **Not** *reasonable, Jeremy*. Making secret plans for the world *over the weekend* about covering-up Covid - not telling what they were?

We know you had *thoughts and suggestions on this complex issue* - you organized/chaired the discussion - let's see them.

Why not?

Due to keeping us [secure on the planet](#).

Here's a *thought and suggestion*:

#dack-the-redactions

We have to keep remembering - for us it's a *big mystery* - but it's *not* for the *actors*.

Edit Jan 12, 2022: Finally, although the full transcripts have not been released, Republican members of Congress, Xavier Becerra, who has seen the redacted material has released excerpts. It's exactly as we thought: all Prox O authors

thought it was was engineered:

From Bob [Garry]:

Before I left the office for the ball, I aligned nCoV with the 96% bat CoV sequenced at WIV. Except for the RBD the S proteins are

essentially identical at the amino acid level – well all but the perfect insertion of 12 nucleotides that adds the furin site. S2 is over its

whole length essentially identical. I really can't think of a plausible natural scenario where you get from the bat virus or one very

similar to it to nCoV where you insert exactly 4 amino acids 12 nucleotide that all have to be added at the exact same time to gain

this function – that and you don't change any other amino acid in S2? I just can't figure out how this gets accomplished in nature.

Do the alignment of the spikes at the amino acid level – its stunning. **Of course, in the**

lab it would be easy to generate the perfect 12 base insert that you wanted. Another scenario is that the progenitor of nCoV was

a bat virus with the perfect furin cleavage site generated over 3

evolutionary times. In this scenario RaTG13 the WIV virus was

generated by a perfect deletion of 12 nucleotides while essentially

not changing any other S2 amino acid. Even more implausible IMO.

That is the big if.

You were doing gain of function research you would NOT use an

existing close of SARS or MERSv. These viruses are already human

pathogens. What you would do is close a bat virus th[at] had not yet

emerged. Maybe then pass it in human cells for a while to lock in

the RBS, then you reclone and put in the mutations you are

interested – one of the first a polybasic cleavage site.⁴

So if our scientist *actors* are acting like members of a top-secret spy organization, what do we know about the politics of your typical National Security Organization?

Not much. Except it exists.

Revision #4

Created 9 January 2022 23:31:15 by dulanndrift

Updated 13 January 2022 10:27:53 by dulanndrift