

Wellcome Trust

Is Wellcome Trust a private security organization shaping the world in their own image?

- I Spy
- Baroness Eliza Manningham-Buller
- Paul Schreier
- Gabriel Leung
- Springer Nature

I Spy

Wellcome website: *Wellcome is an independent global charitable foundation dedicated to improving health through research. Although the way we deliver our mission has evolved since 1936, science has always been at the heart of it.*

Wellcome: *Henry Wellcome became a wealthy and prominent figure in the growth of the modern pharmaceutical industry. .. After his death in 1936 .. the company became the property of the newly formed Wellcome Trust.*

Farrar (16:00): *Sir Henry Wellcome (who formed, then sold out of GlaxoSmithKline) left his whole endowment to us uhhmm .. he was one of the first people to put tea infusions into tablets - that's how the money was made and in the late 1980's a **visionary leader** of Wellcome sold the company and created the **independent** foundation..*

Independent visionaries. Sounds nice - same as Bill&Melinda - but what does it really mean? Two closely aligned, super rich foundations controlling the purse strings on a corrupt/broken funding system - that has engineered - with *no constraints* - the bio-state we now find ourselves living in. They have become a law unto themselves - which in turn has become a law unto us.

Wellcome: *Successful products included antivirals such as AZT, the first drug approved to treat HIV.*

(Watched Dallas Buyers Club again recently - don't know how accurate it is, but AZT was portrayed as an *expensive poison* (when administered at the original high-doses) being pushed by corrupt big-Pharma/FDA - which was simultaneously denying patients other remedies. Didn't realize AZT was a Wellcome Trust product, though. Some eerie similarities to today - worth a second viewing.)

Farrar (16:10): *(S)o we are in this **incredibly privileged position** of having our own endowment which is about **26 - ahr - 27 billion pounds** .. and ahr we are **independent**, we have our own governing board, ahr, so **we have none of the constraints** that we appreciate you have here (at NIH).*

Independent global bio-power - incredibly privileged - no constraints.

Wellcome: *Our investment portfolio gives us the independence to support such transformative work as the sequencing and understanding of the human genome, research that established front-line drugs for malaria, and Wellcome Collection, our free venue for the incurably curious that explores medicine, life and art. www.wellcome.ac.uk.*

Wellcome website:

- 1995: Wellcome Plc is bought by Glaxo to form **GlaxoWellcome**, which later becomes GlaxoSmithKline
- 1995: The Wellcome Trust - or now just **Wellcome** - has become an independent charitable foundation

(1995: Jeremy Farrar appointed to Vietnam - sponsored by Wellcome Trust)

*Wellcome Trust is political - we know that coz Farrar told us - as Director of Wellcome he regularly uses the bio-tech speaking circuit to rally the troops. Behind the scenes he chairs: an **informal group** called the Heads of International Research Organizations.*

But is *Wellcome* an unofficial, *independent* international intelligence gathering organization with a *global security* agenda?

Has it crossed over into the realm of *private spy-force to prosecute that political agenda*? I'm about to argue it has.

Wellcome Trust:

- has operations in over 100 countries
- collects and centralizes data/intelligence - loves the stuff - knows it's the future
- vigorously prosecutes a globalization agenda
- promotes/employs bio-surveillance technology
- was mixed up in Xinijang collaborations with CCP institutions
- was mixed up in Tekmira's aborted Ebola trial in Sierra Leone
- funds/pursues dual-purpose defense/weapons genomics/AI technologies - in US, China (!), Africa - including research at WIV
- is directed by Sir Jeremy Farrar, *Mystery Man* from 17-years in Vietnam, where he worked hand-in-hand with a totalitarian regime

In many Asian, African, and South American countries, *Wellcome* (and the *Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation*) would represent *the main foreigner organizations on the ground*. (Interestingly, its in those continents, where, according to Wellcome's own poll (PDF, p53), that *trust in scientists* is rock-bottom with only 1 in 10 people saying they have a high trust. The low vax-rate in Africa, well under 10% in most countries, is not due to western hoarding, as we're led to believe - it's because Africans simply don't trust scientists - due to previous, bad experiences.)

If you are a spy, you don't rock up to a country - start spying - you need a cover-occupation. Additionally, modern-day spying doesn't even require traditional spies as such - it's all about data control.

Theoretically at least, Wellcome would provide a perfect vehicle for intelligence gathering in terms of its international penetration - its massive resources, it's data-gathering/centralization platform.

As we saw with Anthrax (and long before that - WW2 was the inflection point) scientists have become accustomed to pulling up chairs at the National Security Councils of the world.

If our current crop of Covid-scientists are not involved in Secret Security, then why are their FOI emails redacted?

dack-the-redactions

Hand over all the scientific correspondence for that matter: Farrar's, Collins's, Daszak's, Lipkin's, Nature's, Lancet's etc - all of those scientists involved in concocting the original Covid narration. The Feb 1 meeting was a live teleconference - it'll be there in the cloud somewhere. Let's take a look at that!

Why not? You keep telling us it's science - not politics - so what are you afraid of? The truth?

Why doesn't the taxpayer have a right to know *the premise* behind the actions decided on in that Feb 1 meeting - that *changed the world*.

If not now when?

Baroness Eliza Manningham-Buller



[Wellcome Website, Oct 1, 2015](#): *Baroness Manningham-Buller is the first woman to chair the Wellcome Trust's Board of Governors, and was appointed following an **open recruitment process**. She was previously a Governor of the Wellcome Trust.*

2015 - that's two years after Sir Jeremy, took over as Director. Sir Jeremy was, of course, appointed by the *Board of Governors*, on which Baroness Eliza was already an influential figure. Hopefully the *open recruitment process* was more open than your [inquiry into bullying](#) under yours & Manningham-**Buller**'s watch, Jeremy? Or similar? Forget about that - so what made you go for the Baroness - in all openness?

[Wellcome](#): *Between 2002 and 2007, Eliza Manningham-Buller was **Director-General of the UK Security Service (MI5)**, and led the service through*

significant change.

Oh.

[Baroness Manningham-Buller](#): I am **excited** by the prospect of working **even more closely** with the Trust's director, Jeremy Farrar .. to plan an **even more ambitious future** for **our** work.

Two points:

1. Yeah, I bet you're *excited*. You'd be *licking-your-lips* at teaming-up with Jeremy. If global human data is currency, which it is, *Wellcome's* data-base is a *gold-mine*. Ex-Heads-of-MI5 don't change careers in their power-prime, go off, raise bees/chooks on an off-grid, self-sustaining plot ... communing with nature ... That's *not exciting*. What's *exciting* is globalizing your power-cache.

Cashey-ing-the-fuck-in. Enhance your position/image - until *death* ... we still don't part actually - aka legacy thinking. You might die, but your organization's *thought-culture* lives on. This, in turn, will protect/preserve your image after death.

Understanding that that's how it works in the *real* world is the key. Enjoying the full pleasures life has to offer - at the top - whilst making the big-decisions on behalf of humanity as your day-job . Being a Player of influence ... That's the kinda excitement that gets you out of bed everyday.

2. What the fuck does *even more ambitious future* for **our** work entail ..., *exactly?*

Bear in mind what we're talking about here: big-picture global security *Big-Wig* - meets: Sir Jeremy: globalist totalitarian regime advocate *visionary* - current custodian of mass-global-bio-data - gene-tech hybrid AI-human flag-bearer.

That's a match made in heaven. Here we have two (Oxford) people who understand that *THE Future* involves AI globalism coming, fast. It's what they spend their time/our money thinking about. Not only thinking about, but shaping, capitalizing it. Knowing that this *Future* transcends *all borders*, all politics ... otherwise known as *Globalism ... One-Everythingism*.

As a sociologist, i can *report* the only way that works is through *global-totalitarianism*. I don't care what mad ideas of grandeur you have - whatever they are, they fail the *beyond-the-pale* test by a long, long way.

Coz: philosophically, there's no come back from global-totalitarianism for human rights. It's the same when you concrete paradise - once it's gone it's gone. The time to fight that shit is now. Not when it's already gone. That would be *too late*. Which is exactly the future-time-space Eliza & Jeremy et al are aiming for. That space where: *we've all moved on*.

Covid, conveniently or otherwise, served to catapult forward what was already happening with bio-surveillance-wise re funding/real-world implementation/de-influencing the individual. That's not a contentious statement. I remember right from the beginning, it felt like suddenly being fast-forwarded, then trapped, in a bad dystopian movie - where the *dystopes* win.

Try asking questions about Covid's origin, vaccines, you'll be demonized/banned. Try protesting that on the street, we'll fine/beat/lock-you-up/persecute your family. Always to *keep the wider-community safe*. It's an unwinnable computer game that the ruling-class has designed, then forced people to play.

Are you daring people to violent revolution? Coz you're very busy closing down all other avenues to voice dissent. Guess it's a lot easier in countries like Oz & NZ where gun-rights were taken away - from normal people, that is - not the state - they're armed to the back teeth. Now we get to bully the populace without fear of repercussions. No coincidence that Australia set/holds a bunch of [world records](#) for longest, most draconian restrictions. Would the politicians have been so emboldened to introduce such radical security-state measures if the people were armed?

Deduction: Covid was (deliberately or not) a power-grab by THE Science/Security Orgs. *Grab* is not the right word. Can't *grab* what you've already got-hold-off. More like a booster-shot - shit - mixed metaphors - let's stick with *grip* - as in wrestling, you had an advantageous grip, you tightened it, drove that shit home.

In this case, *that shit* = *futurist vision*

Entailing: AI x global-bio-data x gene-therapy - the dawning of the Hybrid-Era. You don't need a 50 year crystal-ball to know that's the way it's going - but you do need power in order to ride it, shape it, control it, capitalize it. Luckily, that's exactly what Dame Eliza & Sir Jeremy have with their control of the Wellcome

Trust empire.

Which brings me back to this thought i can't shake: Covid was such an enormous win for *THE Science/Security Orgs (Jeremy, Eliza, Fauci, et al)*, was it really only a coincidence?

We know WIV's location & the origin outbreak location was not a coincidence - not even the [fab-5 Prox-O authors](#), that told the world it was a coincidence, thought that. So it begs the question at least: was the money bonanza Covid uncorked a coincidence?

Or is it like it was with Anthrax, a [deliberate release by scientists](#) to get more power/money for vaccine research/global biosecurity-surveillance whilst inflating a fake fear of bio-terrorism?

At the very least, we can acknowledge that it's a precedental card-in-the-pack, that our visionaries, Jeremy & Eliza, had/have the power to play - that they know works brilliantly:

ring-a-ring-a-rosie - pocket full of posies - achoo - achoo - we all fall down

Manningham-Buller's time in power at MI5 was marked by her *terrorists-under-every-rock* PR-push - which coincided with a funding-explosion for security surveillance in the UK.

[Intelligence & Security Committee, 2003-4 Annual Report](#): *Since the end of the Cold War .. the threats have become **more numerous and varied**. .. Initially,*

*there was a belief, now recognised as misplaced that this was an opportunity to reduce the Agencies (and the Armed Forces) as the threat was **seen to have diminished**. .. The result of this reassessment process in 2003 was to **increase dramatically the size of the Security Service**.* (I&S's brackets)

This hints at the Anthrax action. There was a period of time, right about then, where pressure/common-sense was mounting to dial back the ridiculous levels of Intelligence & Security exemplified by McCarthyism - an out of control, unaccountable, deep-state empire with totalitarian ambitions. That sensible scale-back all collapsed along with 911 & the twin towers, & then the *Anthrax Terrorist Attacks*. Anthrax we know for sure was an internal science-community crime - specifically designed to reverse the decline in funding for bio-terrorism security/vaccines (a goal it achieved in spades), that came when the *threats were seen to have diminished*.

So, there it is: Pump-up the scare-campaign/threats volume - in order to *increase dramatically the size of the Security Service* - a tried & true formula.

Manningham-Buller was a leader in (successfully) advocating for this funding-explosion for security/surveillance services at a time when it was stalling.

Stocktake: The head of MI5 chairing *Wellcome Trust*, which specializes in AI/Genomics and bio-data collection/surveillance in [conjunction with the CCP](#) - directed by Sir Jeremy Farrar - the [chief architect](#) of the Covid Conspiracy.

That's strong prima-facie evidence for the premise that *Wellcome Trust* is operating as a private, global security org. Let's see who else they've got

running Wellcome?

(spoiler: it gets murkier & murkier ...)

Paul Schreier



Courtesy Wellcome website

Of Paul and I fame from the Feb 1 Teleconference. At first i thought he was Jeremy's personal secretary - they seemed joined at the hip - but he's more than that - he's COO at Wellcome - appointed Sep 2019.

His last gig was CEO of Hakluyt - a shadowy private intelligence gathering organization for the rich and powerful described as a retirement home for ex-MI6 - that was mixed up in the Bo Xilai scandal (before Paul's time as CEO). I haven't looked into Bo Xilai - but he was a then-contender with Xi Jinping. Xi expelled him. The person murdered - Neil Heywood - was a Hakluyt employee.

Before Hakluyt, Paul was a public-servant strategist for the Department of PM & Cabinet, Australia - under Julia Gillard.

Canberra Insider (AFR) described his ascendancy as an: *important and unusually last-minute appointment.*

Paul Schreier, has a very different background as a partner with McKinsey & Co ...

(a private One-Everything consultancy for high-enders - which agreed in Feb 2021 *to pay nearly \$600 million to **settle investigations** into its role in helping “turbocharge” opioid sales.* (So coughed 600m for a cover-up - Paul was there at the time the turbocharging was committed - Purdue was McKinsey's long-term client.)

*... and previously as a **warfare officer in the Royal Navy.***

So a globalist military war planner - mixed up in a company pushing oxycontin, who later worked for a private spy company. Cool.

*On joining PM&C in March 2010, he was seconded to the Black review of Defence accountability for three months and returned to **run the infrastructure division.** Outgoing PM&C secretary Terry Moran said Schreier's career had had a strong international flavour. “He has served governments and other public-sector organisations in the United Kingdom, Europe, south-east Asia and Africa.”*

Served doing what? A warfare officer running infrastructure for the One-Everything movement in the United Kingdom, Europe, **south-east Asia** and Africa?

Vietnam is south-east Asia. Jeremy was there (and the UK) for 17 years. Did you hook up back then?

Schreier's specialty is warfare strategy and intelligence gathering - with cover-up skills honed at McKinsey/Purdue Pharma - no wonder he was a key figure in the Feb 1 Teleconference. At first i thought he was a bit-player. He's not. He's:

Paul & I.

Interestingly, Julia Gillard took over from *Baroness Manningham-Buller* at Wellcome, announced 14 May 2020, which is a tricky period. Luckily Paul was already there to facilitate their re-unification on the big-stage.

Have i got this right? At the time Covid broke, we have:

Wellcome Chair: Manningham-Buller, ex-head of MI5

Wellcome COO: Paul Schreier, warfare planner, ex-CEO of Hakluyt (a retirement home for ex-MI6)

Wellcome Director: Sir Jeremy Farrar, One-Everything evangelist, member of the murky Oxford/Chinese Academy of Science organization specializing in genomics surveillance, co-ordinator of the Feb 1 Teleconference.

That's a weird leadership triumvirate for what's supposed to be a health charity.

That premise, that Wellcome functions as an unofficial, private security organization, beholden to God-knows-who - is looking less fanciful by the minute.

All we need now at Wellcome is someone from China with deep connections to CCP leadership so we can coordinate our global strategy ...

Gabriel Leung



Wellcome announced in Feb 2021 that Leung

would join the Wellcome Board of Governors.

Gabriel Leung: *Once the world finally exits the acute phase of the Covid-19 pandemic, we'll be faced with many questions about what **we want the future to look like**. .. I'm excited to be joining Wellcome to help bring that about.*

Good point Gabriel. I want the future to look like a place where those that potentially killed millions and withdrew all our freedoms are held accountable. Where reforms are made to avert a headlong rush into a *One-Everything* world run by private-totalitarian AI-Bio Tsars. *I'm excited to be joining Formosahut Book Stack (started by Dan who i met in Dulan) to help bring that about.*

Formosahut is not as powerful as Wellcome Trust i admit. It's where the *smart money* isn't. Meanwhile Wellcome's endowment was increasing by a billion a year before Covid - God knows what it's like now. How's our endowment going dan? Not that good, right?

We'll have to patiently wait & see who wins in the end. Actually it's not about *winning* - it's about *not* having things *taken away*.

If you're protesting a corruptly approved resort on a much-loved/public/pristine beach for example - you're not trying to gain anything. Your aim is to maintain the beach - the vibe.

It's not: - I also demand you pay me a high-salary for life you fuckers!

Versus:

Own/Wreck the beach - pay me a high-salary for life ... seriously.

Wellcome Trust/One Health, disguised as wokeness, is that *winner own/wreck/control* model - on a global scale.

Permanently change the landscape - to *win* more money/power.

As Leung reiterates: Wellcome is *in-the-business-of* global-future-shaping - for *the common good*.



Prior to his Wellcome appointment, Leung

served as a top Covid advisor to Carrie Lam (HK) - he still does. To understand the significance of that role we must remember that at the time Covid broke, the Hong Kong protest was at its height. Massive protests every weekend - supported by a *large* majority of Hong Kongers.

The CCP-leadership was faced with two options:

1. Honour the One-country Two-systems agreement
2. A Tiananmen Square style massacre to restore control

Then along came Covid.

So conveniently. Allowed the CCP-directed HK authorities to institute snap lockdowns. That measure alone crushed the protest *without firing a shot* - very Sun Tzu.

Gabriel Leung was Tzu's architect-in-chief of this incredibly effective protest killer in Hong Kong. There are points in history where things could go either way - Hong Kong went the Covid way.

That's a skill-set Wellcome knows is valuable - on a global scale.

Farrar/Koopmans/Nature: (I)t will be a challenge to **persuade countries** to invest in a **new surveillance system**, for example, before its **general effectiveness has been demonstrated**

at a country level.

Before Covid, before Carrie Lam, Leung was Director of the Office of the Chief Executive of Hong Kong, under Donald Tsang, who was found guilty of taking a US\$431000 bribe in the form of renovations to his penthouse. Did, Leung, the Director of Tsang's office, have no idea what was going on? If he didn't twig, as the Director - does that look bad on your CV - or good?

Leung is also founder and deputy editor-in-chief for China CDC Weekly. That puts him in close-contact with George Gao, China's CDC head, who is close Oxford chums with Jeremy Farrar (and Holmes, Rambaut, McMichael, Worobey). Leung himself has long had a close relationship with Oxford as editor (PDF) of its Journal of Public Health.

Considering Leung is a power-elite from a totalitarian regime, he delivers a curious speech at University of Sydney (a notorious pro-CCP uni) where he extols a book titled *How Democracy Ends*. It's the theme of his speech.

Gabriel Leung: *While technocracy may have **reigned supreme** .. Democracy Ends chronicle(s) .. the denigration of expertise and celebration of ignorance; in other words, the wholesale repudiation of technocracy.*

Ironically Leung (and the book's author) equates *technocracy reigning supreme* with Democracy. Anyone questioning this global power-grab (which caused Covid - evaporated all our basic freedoms - then ran the cover-up of the millenium) is ignorant/anti-democratic!

In Farrar-esque tones, Leung talks of the *battle* against these questioning forces and urges *the scientific community to come together to fight the good fight*.

Not hard to see how he got drafted into Wellcome Trust - politically he aligns perfectly with Farrar's vision of a privately-run technocratic bio-state.

If that wasn't enough, he is also Director of the Laboratory of Data Discovery HK Science and Technology Park (2020-) and Vice President and **Censor** in Public Health Medicine, HK. *Censorship* being an essential blunt instrument in the War on Freedom - one that's been employed with devastating effect.

Finally, Leung is a WHO advisor - barely needs mentioning - of course he would be. Hard to think of a person-of-interest in this who isn't.

There are two types of Hong Kongers - those heroically risking their lives to fight for basic human freedoms - and those in power fighting to eradicate them.

As a top advisor to Chief Executive Lam in the consequent crushing of the HK protest, the Director of the Office of ex-Chief Executive Tsang (a corrupt criminal), and Technocracy Censorship expert -

Gabriel is the latter.

Springer Nature

Of course *Nature* deserves its own book - one day - meanwhile ...

Wellcome ([on Springer's website](#)):

If you are funded by the Wellcome Trust ...

Wellcome Trust Compliance

... Springer is the publisher of choice for your work and will assist you in complying with your funder's policy in support of open and unrestricted access to research literature. (their huge lettering)

Great. Can we get *open and unrestricted access* to the the Wellcome emails and texts discussing Covid? Only the scientific ones.

The cost of having your journal article and book published open access is covered by the Wellcome Trust. Please see the [Wellcome Trust Authors' Guide](#) for details .. and choose .. within the [Open Choice program](#).

Dan is writing a book, *[The Refuser](#)*, set in 2084 - he'd love that language - *you're free to choose within the Open Choice Program* - or kiss your career goodbye. It's your choice ...

*You will be given that option as soon as your article has been accepted for publication **after peer review**, and **we will handle the administrative process**.*

We will handle everything - basically. A *translational* service onto the world's most prestigious science platform. Or not.

The whole funding/peer review circus is corrupt & broken. It (potentially) caused Covid.

Springer Nature's collaboration with Wellcome is at the core of it.