Secret stuff

A closer look at the secret world of bio-security

- Dack the Redactions
- What is the politics of a spy organization?

Dack the Redactions

First principle of Covid:

no evidence ***- is*** *evidence*

It’s evidence that the truth is being concealed in the well-founded hope that in 10 years time no-one will know or care about the origin. People will vaguely remember something about bats & pangolin - *or was it civets?* ***-*** *there was some kind of conspiracy theorist questioning wasn’t there? - but then we moved on* - in the end it was never really settled.

That’s a pity - coz tracing the origin is important. Even better it's:

easy

Despite what we're told. Dozens of people know for sure already. The only reason we don’t know is coz those that do know are withholding the information.

For example: the total redaction of what was discussed at the Feb 1 Teleconference (PDF, p3133) - where the fate of the world was decided by public-funded health officials - is a classic. Everyone on that call *knows what happened*. We could likely solve the origin of Covid simply by unredacting correspondence of those scientists who decreed the world's response to the outbreak. Why are their discussions - science discussions about a subject that affected us all - so secretive?

Ron Fouchier, the world’s top GoF-shot, wrote a 2-page bullet point review. Can we see that one?

V-aI2z0w4sv2RK-xB3MiDrqCRpuerwjd4NbO5a_G46rAJmqkI91xclAoxn

C415AAh0gvo-yv2-js63mEkZKf4zT0JxtDIEu1RLwiqG6SACep4r6

C415AAh0gvo-yv2-js63mEkZKf4zT0JxtDIEu1RLwiqG6SACep4r68BSiQk-ZTjEmJxsd3vZvzQDQjhjLHiJKE

Note: I’ve redacted another full page of redactions from Ron’s notes. You didn’t miss much. Except we did.

Or this one from Farrar?

From : Jeremy Farrar

Sent : Sunday, February 2, 2020 4 :48 AM

VyyBRLCROihq000oS5F1oT1Msqnu0QuoOpMxPz2y2IwSYRiVaaz_nOz7

TtWY3pqw4nvW6pbKEg_UvAioXrNAzsRsp9NBDMc5uxWhKaT1DrD4loFlFr1vgpWapmUZkPd

Below: Farrar to Feb 1 Teleconferencers.

zaterdag 1 februari 2020 om 21:59

7mSj6-LkRRJhnG_5iHM61zxRyN8uK-f6qYLzA_qZ0z4DBZYm1-o9Cet8mGhrAVuzitJxkmx

Yeah - no. ***Not*** *reasonable, Jeremy.* Making secret plans for the world *over the weekend* about covering-up Covid - not telling what they were?

We know you had *thoughts and suggestions on this complex issue* - you organized/chaired the discussion - let's see them.

Why not?

Due to *keeping us secure on the planet*.

Here's a *thought and suggestion:*

#dack-the-redactions

We have to keep remembering - for us it's a *big mystery* - but it's *not* for the *actors*.

Edit Jan 12, 2022: Finally, although the full transcripts have not been released, Republican members of Congress, Xavier Becerra, who has seen the redacted material has released excerpts. It's exactly as we thought: all Prox O authors thought it was was engineered:

From Bob [Garry]:

Before I left the office for the ball, I aligned nCoV with the 96% bat CoV sequenced at WIV. Except for the RBD the S proteins are

essentially identical at the amino acid level – well all but the perfect insertion of 12 nucleotides that adds the furin site. S2 is over its

whole length essentially identical. I really can't think of a plausible natural scenario where you get from the bat virus or one very

similar to it to nCoV where you insert exactly 4 amino acids 12 nucleotide that all have to be added at the exact same time to gain

this function – that and you don't change any other amino acid in S2? I just can't figure out how this gets accomplished in nature.

Do the alignment of the spikes at the amino acid level – its stunning. **Of course, in the**

lab it would be easy to generate the perfect 12 base insert that you wanted. Another scenario is that the progenitor of nCoV was

a bat virus with the perfect furin cleavage site generated over3

evolutionary times. In this scenario RaTG13 the WIV virus was

generated by a perfect deletion of 12 nucleotides while essentially

not changing any other S2 amino acid. Even more implausible IMO.

That is the big if.

You were doing gain of function research you would NOT use an

existing close of SARS or MERSv. These viruses are already human

pathogens. What you would do is close a bat virus th[at] had not yet

emerged. Maybe then pass it in human cells for a while to lo ck in

the RBS, then you reclone and put in the mutations you are

interested – one of the first a polybasic cleavage site.4

So if our scientist *actors* are acting like members of a top-secret spy organization, what do we know about the politics of your typical National Security Organization?

Not much. Except it exists.

What is the politics of a spy organization?

A good question is one where people don't want to give a straight answer. The stock answer, same as THE scientists', is: *no politics*

Mike Burgess, Aus Spy Agency Chief: *ASIO is apolitical, my staff are apolitical, they put their lives on the line to actually protect Australians and Australia from threats of security. I will leave the politics to the politicians.*

97.3% identical to what we've heard from countless scientists - we are

- *above politics* -

Trouble is: it's this *aboveness* that worries me.

Exhibit A: Mueller's bungling 7-year investigation/cover-up of Anthrax's origin which succeeded in protecting *scientist culture gone mad* instead of exposing it.

Exhibit B: The *non-decision* by the US's top Intelligence agencies re Covid's origin - where they told us the origin *may never be found* - though we're not allowed to see the evidence they looked at - or didn't. We don't even know if they've grilled the chief suspects.

The security organizations are singing from the same songsheet from which WHO investigators Daszak and Dwyer delivered their command performances. *Time is on our side. Keep obfuscating - years will pass - by then we'll be onto The Next Disease X - we'll be home-free.*

Below is an exercise in stating the obvious - but so was saying Covid may have leaked from the *right-next-door* lab GoFFing-out deadly bat viruses - or saying world-leading expert/WHO investigator Pete *Dazza* Daszak was dodgy - so it's worth setting down:

A secret security organization:

- 's mission is to guard National Security - identify/combat threats - maintain/expand the established power structure - *the Order.*
- is a public service career job. The Great Un-elected. They watch politicians *cycle* through. (Robert Mueller the 3rd's reign, for example, ran since he became Director of the FBI on Sep 4 2001!!!)
- pays good. Great at the top. Massive budget - from your taxes.

- is an international network of intelligence gathering agents (spies)
- collects/analyses/algorithms globo-data - for surveillance - to maintain order.
- is powerful.
- requires alliances with powerful international monied-organizations - including bio-defense/weapons research - whilst keeping tabs on/back-channeling with non-aligned nations.
- is global by nature.
- entails a concept of *the common-good*. It's actors are dedicated to uphold that - to keep us secure
- can't divulge details of its operations to protect this *common-good* - or define what the *common-good* means - due to National Security.

This last one is the Catch-22 politics at the heart of every National Security organization:

We are empowered to act covertly to secure the common-good.

FAQ: But who defines the common good?

This section has been closed due to the common good. Thank you.

Which is where we get to the *no evidence is evidence* part.

Here's my conspiracy theory:

(a) Wellcome Trust - &/+ Bill&Melinda - tick all the above boxes (only difference is they have a private endowment) thereby qualifying as Private Security/Scio-tech Organizations. You could throw EcoHealth Alliance in there as well, they are private scio-security players, though 90% government funded. Also not nearly in the same league as Wellcome/Bill&Melinda financially.

(b) Undercover of the night, there has been a political alignment between private security operations such as Wellcome - and normal ones - including those of China and the US. They are all focused on *Global Security* to together fight anti-globalism - which they view as a common enemy. *If you're an enemy of my enemy ...*

(c) The above parties conspired to cover-up the origin of Covid. Not easy to do - unless you happen to be at the helm of the Science/Security/One-Everything Alliance - 20 years on from its 911/Anthrax mega-growth spurt to the frightening mass of unaccountable power/money it is today...

(d) Motive: save Globalization from the crippling blowback that would result from the truth: One Health scientists, our saviours, ***caused*** Covid.

(e) Covid was then *used* - to permanently entrench a bio-state stranglehold. Due to Covid. Yes, it's a circular argument. But somehow fooled the world. (Well not *somehow*, with the blanket support of mainstream media.)