Science as Religion

Scientists have long sneered at religion as something the ignorant masses believe in - but the science community exhibits so many of the worst excesses of a religious organization in the name of THE Science, it's scary.

- Faith in Science
- THE Science Community
- The Anthrax Template
- THE Science's Bullying Culture
- What that looks like ...

Faith in Science

The day i realized i had a *faith in science* was the day i stopped believing.

Science is not supposed to be a religion. Why am i believing in it? It's meant be observed details - that can be verified - or not.

The reality is you kinda have to. A normal person doesn't have time to research, verify the pronouncements of world-leading scientists - we have to trust them. Many, not all, scientists trade off that trust to move the relationship with the public into a mystical world.

This shift towards a religious movement comes right from the top. Farrar is our shepherd-in-chief. He peppers his speeches with exhortations to *believe* **-** artfully delivers rallying calls to those who *truly, truly believe.*

Desert Island intro: [00:01:39]: *Now after a lifetime at the frontline of medicine, he's a* ***believer*** *in the benefits of becoming* ***comfortable with and effective during periods of uncertainty.*** *He says there are* ***no rules****. There are* ***no textbooks to follow*** *here. These are judgement calls made in the very best* ***faith****.*

Lipkin talks of *preaching in the wilderness* about his:

... *international surveillance system* ***-*** *this is the future for us* ***-*** *(31:40) this is what will keep us secure on the planet* ***-*** *this is what we need...*

Another telltale sign of zealotry is the desire to vilify a perceived enemy. In *Lord of the Flies* it's interesting to note that the boys didn't bother too much with inventing a God - the Devil was way more important - a powerful force for shaping/controlling the culture - with the inventors/narrators of the devil conveniently installed at the top.

For Farrar the devil is the anti-globalization and/or the vaccine/lose-all-my-freedoms-hesitant movements - the great threat to the *One-Everything* dream. As an establishment leader, he warns it is *eroding trust in institutions ...* (which is) *exacerbated by social media* - which in turn - acted - upon the direction of the Lancet Statement and Prox O scientists, which Farrar coordinated, to censor/ban such talk. That's using your clout to be as proactive with manipulating the future of humanity as you can get.

There's saying it, but then there's doing it. Who's prepared to step-up and do the dirty work?

Farrar, Daszak, Lipkin, Holmes, Andersen led the way in using the same platforms that banned their inquisitors to vilify the *conspiracy theorists* and *crackpots*. Lipkin yearned for ways to *choke*

them.

Part of Jeremy's PR skill-set is getting that *social media* is - *a real thing* - which needs to be monitored/influenced - or it will run-you-the-fuck-over. Holmes reports: *Jeremy Farrar, director of the Wellcome Trust, certainly was very vocal in that.*

For a long while the cohort was successful. With full-backing from the world's media/social media giants - except Joe Rogan - he's a media giant - has become one - coz he's been a voice of sanity as our Celebrity Scientists played to the dark-urges of humans. Lords of the Flies - using their power to ostracize/demonize those who question them. Joe Rogan asks questions. To get to the truth. So radical! But it connects with a lot of people. Must be human curiosity.

THE Science Community

For starters - THE Science is an oxymoron. There's no THE science - well there is - we're living with it - but *real* science doesn't work like that. There's information gathered, analysed, reported - to support **a** (not THE) hypothesis - amongst a range of other hypotheses. The spirit of science - is to say: *here's what i've come up with* **-** *here's my reasoning* **-** *prove me wrong* **-** *I welcome the scrutiny* .

Not: yell at/ban anyone asking questions. What's up with that?

The media kept telling us: *(T)he vast majority of scientists who have studied the virus agree that it evolved naturally (*and are tired of fighting) *conspiracy theories that have* ***plagued*** *attempts to keep people* ***informed*** *during the pandemic* (such as) *.. the idea that the coronavirus was created in a laboratory.*

That tells me that *the vast majority of scientists*@universities/institutions are: complicit.

Nature even drops the *vast majority* qualifier saying:

EMl8Th2MXq8IXSx2tZC_91O34_7_UoTx3r7TuwbSa0O1KAYfwy7-X6vI8gPcZdvAl8WWNEPvutYVt5IpG(

Scientists believe - those two words sum up everything about how we got into this mess.

Scientists - not *some* scientists, not *most*, but ***scientists*** **-** as a species - *believing* - same as a religion - then vilifying anyone questioning their beliefs.

This from: *Nature's Editors. THE Science's* official mouthpiece

On one hand *Nature* waffles on about the sovereign rightness of their empirical agnosticism - on the other hand:

- *Now i'm a Believer!* **-**

What is it you believe again?

that an animal is the most likely source of the coronavirus

Not sure if that's simply bad English or a cunningly left back-door exit for later. Humans *are* animals. *See* **-** *we never ruled that out* **-** *we were right all along!*

Even some scientists who questioned the origin of Covid fell into this trap:

The only way of proving the true origin is through science - everyone else needs to stand back - let us take up the fight - don't muddy our waters with your unscientific speculations. (I'm paraphrasing someone here)

Aitologically speaking, I lean towards the conclusion that that's the same wrong-headed empiricist-thinking that caused Covid.

I know they *mean well* - scientific input *is* valuable - I'm not anti-science - but scientists - or anyone - segregating the truth into their closed-society domain ... please don't do that - it does *more harm than good*.

The fatal flaw with Empiricism is *believing* you are right. That *only you* have the solution.

Then insisting everyone else *believes* you - unquestioningly.

That's a guaranteed recipe for *getting it wrong*. It's also a dangerous breeding ground for tyrannical thought - as we've seen.

Scientists - for or against: Present your info - argue your case - but don't ask us to *believe you!*

Science is *part of* the answer - empiricism has something to contribute - but be humble enough to recognize that it was this same culture that caused then covered-up Covid - with it's consequential War-on-Freedom. It cannot be brushed over - it needs fundamental deconstruction/reform.

Empiricists are great at looking at trees - in microscopic detail - not noted for transcending that mindset - to see the forest. The *Shakespearean tragedy* is they think they can - but they can't. Then the fallout from that hubris.

That's where we need our discarded humanities thinkers* back in the band - involved in decisions that require forest-seeing skills. Or normal people with common sense will do fine.

(*Australia's government recently raised fees for History and Philosophy students by 113% - to discourage them - because, they *believe*, such knowledge does not have economic value in today's society.)

Why science is not the answer to a problem caused by science:

Premise: Modern Science (Empiricism), by its own definition, involves an immense amount of minutiae.

Including unrevealed bits of minutiae, which, in turn, provide wiggle room for counter arguments/misinformation tactics - that the mainstream science community will exploit - like their lives depend on it - which they do.

For example: Worobey - Oxford chums from the 90's with Farrar, Gao, Eddie, Rambaut - pops up for a rear-guard action with his Dec *Patient-Zero* silliness splashed across all media like it was the last nail-in-the-coffin of the lab-leakers. The goal is not to present truth - it's, paradoxically, purely

about muddying the waters. It works great - if you do it long enough. People still think SARS came from civets.

Then there's the matter of *the holy grail* for some of the lab-leak-scientists. If you're going the scientific hard-data route, you're racing to find what, exactly?

An exact genetic match on a WIV database - which was taken offline.

All on-site samples have been destroyed.

That shit's not gonna magically reappear. The *smoking gun* has long since gone up in smoke.

If that's your definition of proof you're playing into the hands of those who seek to cover it up - they can forever say it remains unresolved.

Fighting the might of the science community on their own turf/terms, is to take on an empire that has never lost a match when it comes to dragging origins into a *muddled memory*.

same as SARS

same as MERS

same as HIV

same as Ebola-14 outbreak - Constantine Nana wrote a book on it in 2016 - it's persuasive.)

same as Anthrax

Meanwhile the poor-old *normal person* is told to wait outside patiently for an answer that's never coming - not before we're engulfed by the next world drama, anyway.

To borrow Andersen/Rambaut/Holmes's phrase, there's a:

much simpler and more cost-effective way

deduction **-**

Scientists will say: *Yes, but it's not real science*.

Sure it is. It's logic - which is philosophy - which is the original science. Done properly, there's no wiggle-room.

Here's an easy example:

1. Scientific discussions relating to the Feb 1 Teleconf were hidden from view (redacted)*
2. Therefore the participants are hiding something
3. All Feb 1 Teleconferencers are leading anti-lab-leak advocates
4. Therefore; they're ***not*** hiding information that supports their case
5. Therefore; they *are* hiding information that potentially incriminates them
6. Therefore; they are *suspects*
7. Therefore; #dack-the-redactions - interview the *suspects*. Give them lie detectors - apply interrogative pressure - one of them will spill.
8. Case solved.

(*some discussions have been released after this was written - they do incriminate the Feb 1 Teleconferencers big-time - exactly as hypothesized - but still no interrogation)

So simple, right? Don't have to be a scientist to understand it - or prosecute it.

But the real beauty is:

it's simple to ***disprove***

No decades-long investigation presided over by a closed-society. All you gotta do is unredact the emails relating to the Feb 1 Teleconference - we could know the origin - either way - *natural* or *not* - as soon as this afternoon.

These are not private sextings from sports stars that the media Hungergames for/publishes - they're *the* scientific decision-making details of public-funded experts who helmed the world's response to Covid. The biggest global catastrophe since WW2. That war was fought to stop fascism. The Covid Response amounts to a war on freedom. We have a right to know the root cause.

Ohr, no, we couldn't do that because ...you know ... uhmm ... these are complicated matters...uhm.. I could explain it ...but ...you know ... you wouldn't understand.

We're not asking you to explain - we're asking you to unredact the science discussion.

Uhm... we are committed to being as transparent as we can be, in principle - but people need to understand that's not the way it works in the science world. (not real quote, except for Farrar's *as transparent as we can be*)

Exactly, that's why we need *the* scientists to dial down their domination tendencies - let logic have a go. Really, it's *not* complicated.

The Anthrax Template

The best indicator of future performance is past performance …

Of the above listed pathogens - the only one where the origin *is* certain is Anthrax

In 2001 *The Science* culture was so *off-the-rails* that it harboured/caused the Anthrax Terrorist Attack. You'd think that'd have to be a *red-flag moment* for this mad-scientist gone maddist culture, right? Reason to investigate/defuse/reform - before it does more damage...!

Under Fauci (and David Franz, now of EcoHealth, ex-USAMRIID Commander - and Ivins's old boss), the exact opposite happened.

The FBI's 7-year cover-up/bungle of an investigation led by Mueller, finally announced Bruce Ivins as the *terrorist* who perpetrated it. Ivins had committed suicide prior to the announcement. He was described as a *weirdo loner* **-** *acting alone. (Note: sorry about the formatting* **-** *we're working through it* **-** *the following quote is not meant be so big for extra significance or anything)*

Col. W. Russell Byrne, M.D., USAMRIID, Ivins colleague/superior: *They seemed to* ***harp*** *on he was a loner. He was* ***not a loner****. …. He had a lot of friends. The first-year anniversary and the second year anniversary of his death,* ***20 or 30 people got together*** *from the division. He was not a loner .. he was* ***very outgoing.***

Indeed. Ivins was a product of - a vibrant participator in **-** ***the*** *science community* **-** working at Fort Detrick - in that shady, yet large, well-funded space where bio-science meets covert military-world. Sound familiar?

The point is: what American bio-defense scientist Bruce Ivins (and his accomplices) wanted - when he(/they) launched the attack, he(/they) got.

- More bio-defense funding - Check - wildest dreams amount

- War in the Middle East - Check - Iraq War-2 to stop anthrax-WMD!
- More vaccines for soldiers fighting those wars - Check: Ivins, and others at Fort Detrick, were working on an anthrax vaccine. (The lucrative contract for Ivins's additive went to Coley Pharmaceutical Group, which was acquired by Pfizer in 2007.)

Anthony S. Fauci was *in-the-thick-of-it* - pleading for a Cheney brain-child bio-state-grab called Bioshield before congress.

Instead of being investigated/reformed, Scientific Expertism grew to become a powerful *deep-state force that shall not be questioned*. Anyone who does have questions - see rule 1. All the while doing riskier and riskier GoF experiments at bio-defense/weapons labs around the world undercover of the night.

It's worth noting that anthrax vaccines are suspected of causing Gulf War Illness due to the *harmful anthrax protective antigen PA63*. Ivins himself blamed his chronic blood disorder on self-trialling his own product.

But the most enduring impact of the Anthrax attacks was the mega-funded power surge injected into bio-scientists. It is this unchecked empowerment that is responsible for our present-day predicament. That's my premise. My argument lives or dies by that.

Among the Ringleaders of this *silent big-bang* take-over, Farrar/Fauci/Franz/Collins/(Drosten), the ultimate goal is a *One Health Planet* - the last frontier in our brave new bio-world.

But FFFC didn't drop-out-of-the-sky and become leaders - they are - like Ivins - from - by - for - *the* science culture. They can not achieve their goals without it. It takes *the* village.

THE Science's Bullying Culture

Reliable sign a culture has gone bad: Bullies become dominant. Use that power to define the culture - especially the devils - which are to be crushed.

Otherwise known as tyranny.

STEM the Bullying: ***An empirical investigation of abusive supervision in academic science:***

Among the 1904 survey participants .. (a)n overwhelming proportion reported either experiencing (84%) or witnessing (59%) abusive supervision, or both (49%). Scores on the Tepper abusive supervision scale (PDF) were ***higher than*** *other organizational benchmarks.*

Tried/failed searching *Tepper abusive supervision organizational benchmark rankings* to see *how higher*...? Do our nice, balanced scientists, always *taking the politics out* - do they hold the world record for abusive, unstable behaviour?

STEM the Bullying: *Participants reported .. being threatened about having their positions cancelled (51.9%), having the bully* ***encourage others to mistreat them*** *(53.7%) and having their funding taken away or receiving threats of the same (43.4%).*

If your doctorate is your life's passion - that's a worry. Whaddya gonna do? Buck the system - become a Uber driver? (Good for you if you do - it's a hard road though) Or play along?

While a majority of perpetrators were male, they were proportionately no more likely to abuse than females.

Nice to know that academics are *equal-opportunity* abusers.

Perpetrators were more likely from the ***highest-ranked institutions****.*

The bigger the institution, the bigger the bullies. Makes sense - sociologically - or normally - speaking.

Nature: *Six current and former employees are calling for the* ***Wellcome Sanger Institute*** *— one of the world's top genomic centres — to reopen an investigation that last week cleared its management of bullying, gender discrimination and* ***misuse of grant money****. The six were not made aware of Sanger's response to their allegations .. (and) question the transparency of the*

investigatory process. "Thus, we could not correct inaccuracies nor could we comment when the Full Report was critical of us."

Serena Nik-Zainal, whistleblower: *For this serious investigation, GRLboard have appointed ONE investigator* (a Wellcome lawyer - and) *.. did not bother to interview all the complainants. .. **Terrifying** lack of clarity in how conclusion reached.* (my brackets)

Wellcome: *We are **satisfied** that a thorough and appropriate investigation has been carried out.*

I'm sure you are. Same way you were *satisfied that a thorough and appropriate investigation has been carried out by WHO into Covid's origin.*

It's the oldest trick in the political book. When an allegation is made, reluctantly conduct an *investigation* - then dismiss the allegation without revealing details of the investigation.

Wellcome, under Farrar, epitomizes this sad state of *the* science culture:

- rife with bullying by those in power
- dismissive of complaints
- *terrifying* lack of transparency

This same *science community* culture has activated to suppress investigations into a lab-leak. MO? Bully/financially ostracize dissenters. Like we normally do. Works for the CCP. It's a proven *system of government*.

The trouble with *conspiracy theories* has always been:

How are you going to get so many people to collaborate and stay silent?

But if you control the culture, then that takes care of *all those moving parts*.

Cultural members are invested - financially/socially/psychologically. Act in the best interests of the hive - which doubles as their own best interests. The mind makes-up the rest. To the point where you vehemently *believe* it. In the full religious sense of the word.

As Huxley and Orwell warned, many of the members enjoy the pile-on - it appeals to a certain base human instinct.

For others, it *gradually* becomes too problematic to oppose. There's your livelihood/your family to consider. The *sensible* decision is

- *comply* -

abrogate the sins to the system. We know it's corrupt - but *whadya gonna do*?

That leaves you with:

a brazen culture of monied-up *One Health Bullies* - unfettered by accountability or the truth - making decisions to change the world.

What that looks like ...

Nature: *But Peter Hotez, a **vaccine advocate** and **scientist** at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas, **warns** that **scientists on their own** aren't equipped to **counter the sentiments*** (The War on Sentiment?) *fueled by **far-right extremists**, politicians and media **organizations**.*

Rubbish. In the crucial nascent window of Covid's spread - when it could still have been contained - all mainstream-*media organizations*/99% of *politicians broadcast/enforced every whim of far-right extremist* scientists* - then executed the blank/block/vilify move against anyone even raising the proposition that *hey - there was a GoF-lab right next door to where the outbreak occurred - should we maybe investigate that?*

*scientists cooking up lies with the CCP then cracking down on the truth is *not* left-wing - it's far-right extremism - the kind that organ harvests semi-conscious prisoners of conscience.

Nature/Hotez: *I'm on a zillion Zoom calls with scientists to **fine-tune our messages** ...*

The fact that you're on a *zillion Zoom calls fine tuning your messaging* (not the truth mind you - *messaging)* proves:

you're a powerful tech-savvy network.

Good for you. I'm not.

but these are messages in a bottle in the Atlantic Ocean

Zillions of 'em.

Nature/Hotez: *Until there is an **appetite** among **government agencies** to **take down** an **anti-science empire** — to say it **endangers the public** — it won't be heard.*

What are you talking about? - we're not an empire - we're a rag-tag team of vilified netizens. You're not though. You're the *we-the-people-paid* elites **-** with enormous power.

The *appetite among government agencies* for centralized data-control/surveillance & collaboration with the one-*science empire* - wasn't that already ravenous?

How much further you planning to ramp that up?