Ch 1: One Health

- One Health
- GIDeoN - W. Ian Lipkin
- One Health Editorial Board
- One Health Oneness

One Health

by **dulan drift**, Wednesday, July 14, 2021, 14:42 - first published by formosa hut - thanks dan.
In essence, The Covid Atrocity was committed by individuals seeking to centralize power within their globalist Orgs. The scio-globo-Org at the heart of this is *One Health. This was Sir* Jeremy Farrar's power vehicle. We'll focus on him later - first let's examine the Org he rode into town on.

Yes, vanity, greed, power hungriness motivates our Celebrity Scientist actors - but in terms of understanding how they came to betray every principle of ethics in their profession, let's explore their ideological overlap with the CCP: they both love centralized, personalized bio-data. Free of any pesky oversight - *we are the oversight*.

We've seen the future (on our behalves) - *the night is dark & full of terrors* - only a global approach can *keep us safe, as a planet. We warned you* about Covid - then it happened, straight after, funnily enough, now we need to prepare for the *Next Disease X …*
(Or so the story goes. For the record: Ebright & Hobson, were *warning*, way before Daszak & Lipkin, that the Anthrax-funded GoF-craze was gonna lead to a pandemic.)
As Daszak, a leading One Health spokesperson says: *logic and reason* tells you this approach will: *save untold millions of lives*.

Which is precisely where empiricism, aka, ***The*** *Science,* becomes illogical. This is why:

1. Global amassment of personalized bio/health-data (including DNA), in conjunction with the CCP, opens the door to political abuse. That's humans & their Orgs for you. Like it or not, it's *part of the equation*, it *will* affect the outcome. If you ignore it, your answer will be wrong.

2. Information doesn't collect itself, ok, it kinda does, but who are the humanoids engineering this collecting of information? What vested interests do these collectors (& their Orgs) of empirical information have?

3. As self-appointed Globo-gate-keepers of bio-empricism, what empirical information is suppressed? (massive amounts if Covid is anything to go by)

4. *There's No evidence of that!* Common cry of our Celebrity Empiricists - but it doesn't mean something doesn't exist. Often it means points 1- 3. Additionally, it effectively bans *deductive thinking*, beyond the cut-off point of the empirical data. There are places in thinking that philosophy (logic, ethics, sociology) & art can go, but Empiricism can't..

Ironically, for empiricists, the first rule of The Covid Cover-up was: never discuss details

Meanwhile, the evidence is easy to find. Search the words genomic surveillance Uyghurs - see how you go … (or keep reading - we'll delve into that later…)

Yeah - but - we're taking the politics out!

Even if you were, which you're not, that's an admission you're ignoring an integral piece 1 of the puzzle.

Politics *is* involved in global biosurveillance. If you're weighing the long term effects & not taking this into account then: *stand-down*
You're proceeding from a *false premise* - thereby - making a terrible mistake - with catastrophic humanity-shaping consequences… (!)

The *One Health Initiative* is a good case-study of how The Science became corrupted.

One Health Initiative website: *In April 2006, Dr. Laura H. Kahn [MD, MPH, MPP] published the CDC article, 'Confronting Zoonoses, Linking Human and Veterinary Medicine' which* ***prompted Dr. Bruce Kaplan*** *[DVM] to contact her. Together they started the One Health Initiative, and Dr. Thomas P. Monath [MD] joined them in March of 2007 to establish the OHI triumvirate The One Health Initiative: Team Aims and Activities | Duke One Health.* (*Duke* - remember that name)

Princeton: (Laura Kahn) *published Who's in Charge? Leadership during epidemics, bioterror attacks, and other public health crises in 2009 with Praeger Security International* (terrorism security company). *Her main point is CDC should assume command in a bioterrorism event.*

GEDTdeFzq00Q2-9FXfGSk7iMsIK72gW8GvSJpu_6w6Ry0zpeC34Y8mLDcltxrnEIn8

So we've got a bioterrorism enthusiast & a *Zoonati* legend as founders of *One Health*.

One Health Initiative website: *The One Health Concept is .. a **worldwide strategy** for expanding interdisciplinary collaborations .. in health care for humans, animals and the environment. The **synergism** achieved will advance health care for the 21st century and beyond by **accelerating biomedical research** discoveries.. (and) **expeditiously expanding** the scientific knowledge base .. (which will) save **untold** millions of lives in our present and future generations.*

Great. Unless Covid was a lab-leak. In which case the *world-wide synergism of accelerated biomedical research collaborations and expeditious expanding* … **cost** … *untold millions of lives in our present and future generations.*

But let's not pre-judge. Let's take a closer look at a *One Health Initiative* scheme in action before we reach any conclusions.

GIDeoN - W. Ian Lipkin

A thread amongst our Celebrity Scientists, apart from being pro-CCP, is the *One Planet-One Health* bio-data-base evangelism.

For example, every chance Lipkin got in the crucial, embryonic Covid period - & he got a lot - he preached GIDEoN, a *One Health Initiative* initiative.

Lipkin: *Every chance I get, I **preach** this - what we need is an **international surveillance system**, this is the future for us - (31:40) this is what will keep us secure on the planet - this is what we need.*

An international surveillance security system? Sounds a bit creepy, Ian.

Lipkin: *This program is called GIDEoN - ...(31:55) - .. it's an international integrated network where people share data and care for one another - to try to protect the world.*

Ohr, so sweet.

Lipkin: *It ensures that everybody employs a common database 1:06:05 and uploads their data so everybody can see it.*

Uhmm … so a global health data-base where *everybody* can see it? Or you mean *everybody who's anybody*? Like your good self ...

Private medical data is sensitive stuff to be *sharing*, Ian. You want to upload a whole globe's worth onto *one database*? What about all the cyber-hacking of medical data?

First thing to clear up, though: Who are these *more body than normal everybodies* ... in charge of surveilling/*securing the planet*?

Lipkin (16:44): *Lu Jia-hai, who's a professor at Sun Yat-sen University .. runs a **large One Health** program - it's been **funded** for about 6 months **by** the **Chinese Government**, but doesn't really*

have any support in the US. It's called GIDeoN - Global Infectious Disease Epidemiology Network. .. This is the future(31:40) - this is what we need.

GIDeoN (a play on the heroic Israelite, Gideon, from the bible), *is a One Health international surveillance system* - to *keep us secure on the planet ... funded by the Chinese Government !!!*

Is that the same Chinese Government already using western bio-surveillance tech to oppress the Uyghurs, Tibetans and Hong Kongers, & threaten Taiwan?

Or the one that you claim was *transparent in sharing that* (the Covid lab-leak) *was a serious threat globally*? (19:05)

Let me get this straight. As a cure for a disease likely caused by *The Scientists* - you're suggesting the entire world population hands over all their medical info to a Globo-body run by: the Chinese government ... via you lot?

Why would we, normal people, do that? It doesn't seem safe ... It's feeding the fire that caused Covid. What if that fire-feeding causes another lab-leak?

Lipkin, GIDEoN Conf, 101:03: *There **will be** more of these - there's no question - .. what we need to do - to **survive as a species** - is - as humans - is to create an integrated network that allows us to detect these* (Covid-style events) *early and to respond to them **as a world**.*

To survive as a species! So dramatic! Our only saviour is an international surveillance system - ruled by scientists in bed with CCP. Or: *we're all gonna DIE!*

The thing is, as a case study*, The Scientists* did detect Covid early - can't get earlier than *causing it* - but it didn't help a lick in terms of preventing its spread. Feels like GIDeoN is more about the *responding as a world* part - a *smash & grab* for globo-power.

In fact, it would be way *more efficacious*/cheaper - with less privacy-invasion - to simply stop scientists playing GoF with our future.

luqlyk1xp8-TNRcuAmvpGHwfmBinnpsOCHUpWZW1lYi28nIIfYaa9Z3PfNfiqN_7qrZ5r

Shi Zhengli, holding a picture of her mentor, W. Ian Lipkin.

(Found this Dec 1, 2021 on Twitter. The writer said the photo was from the 90's. Skeptical, I did some research - sent it to a housemate from Kensington (Melb) back in the day. We didn't need carbon-date analysis to tell us that's the 80's. She thinks *early-80's*. Few other things wrong in the thread (Karesh is *not* in the photo - nor did he found *One Health* - Kaplan/Kahn did) but great photo - well delved.)

One Health Editorial Board

Maybe it's unfair to single out Lipkin - everyone knows he's up to his eyeballs in CCP collaborations - but one case is not reason to taint the whole One Health Initiative. So how about the One Health Editorial Board?

Looking at the list - there are some names i don't know - but a lot *do* jump off the page.

Peter Daszak, William Karesh, Jonathan Epstein - EcoHealth Alliance: (Esptein also has Columbia ties). EcoHealth is known for its CCP ... & ...CIA connections. What's up with that? As well as WIV collaborations galore.

If Covid is a lab-leak, then there's a good chance EcoHealth scientists were directly involved in engineering it.

Thomas Briesse - Columbia/WHO: Columbia, home of Ian Lipkin, CCP ties stretching over decades, operates joint labs in China. Like Lipkin, Briese has been involved with China since SARS. Collaborated with GIDeoN founders Lipkin and Lu Jia-hai at Sun Yat-sen University as recently as March 2021.

Marion Koopmans, WHO Covid investigator: Golden Rule: if a totalitarian surveillance state vets, then approves you ... you're suss. Concluded that a lab-leak is *extremely unlikely*. Feb 1 Teleconferencer. At the pointy end of Covid politics.

Malcolm Jones, Editor-in-Chief, University of QLD: Jones (Feb14, 20): *Members of the Coronavirus family (Coronaviridae) are **quintessential One Health viruses**. .. This approach of environmental surveillance forms part of the PREDICT strategy. [20]*

Rowland N. Cobbold, (and the extravagantly named) **Ricardo Jorge Soares Magalhaes - both UQ:** Along with Columbia, Oxford, Duke, and University of Sydney - UQ is a notorious pro-CCP academic institution. With three One Health reps on the board, same as EcoHealth, it can be relied on to deliver the CCP's messaging.

Vincent J. Munster - NIAID (The Fauch's kingdom): collaborated with Koopmans on Feb 2020 paper downplaying Covid, praising CCP's response.

Then we have **six Chinese academics** from state-run institutions including:

Lu Jia-hai - Sun Yat-sen University: Lipkin's **GIDEON** collaborator, conducts joint projects with Columbia involving Lipkin, Breise.

Wenbao Zhang - Xinjiang Medical University: Xinjiang Medical Uni boasts 21 purged Uyghur academics relocated to *vocational training camps* - *academia* is not an approved vocation, apparently.

Conclusion: *The One Health Initiative*, that's campaigning for a centralized data-base of personalized health info, & global power to enforce directives - is controlled by pro-CCP functionaries.

That sounds crazy - but if it's true. Covid has provided a catapulting effect into this sci-fi-future-gone-wrong ... where drastic restrictions on freedoms are justified *due to Covid*. Ethicists (philosophers) are howled down (imprisoned if you're in China) for questioning this state of affairs. It's all about***:*** *THE* Science

Its self-appointed claim to sovereign globo-authourity ... The Iron Throne. Now it's hurtling us towards bio-surveillance on a planetary scale. If we don't stop this now it will be too late. Maybe it already is.

One Health Oneness

By its nature, *One Health* wants to bring all world health organizations into its *Oneness*. It's lead actors are typically involved in several global bodies. Take Peter Daszak for example: President of EcoHealth, on the Editorial Board of One Health, investigator for WHO.

Or Christian Drosten: Germany's *Coronavirus Tzar*, Feb 1 Teleconferencer, WHO advisor, Director *Charité Global Health*.

Charité Global Health: *Charité Global Health is currently in the process of negotiating collaborations with the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine and the University of Oxford.* (Sir Jeremy Farrar's realm)

A common cause amongst these *One Health* leaders is pedaling fear of a global zoonotic doom. To get more funding.

Drosten (2018): *In an **increasingly globalized world**, preparedness against epidemics is becoming more and more important. Viruses don't respect borders.*

It all melds together. It's impossible to know where one organization stops and another begins - they are O*ne Organism*.

One Health evangelists refer to this as *lateralizing* - breaking down the *silos* - absorbing them into the *One Health* agenda.

Dr Qu Dong-yu, PRC, Director General, UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (appointed Aug 1, 2019(!)), May 2021: *This panel will contribute to advancing the **One Health agenda**, by ..being a shining example of **silo-breaking**, **systems thinking** and open dialogue. Expectations for collective action and the need for effective collaboration have never been higher.* (due to Covid)

For investigators, this shifty *Oneness/collective action* concept can become disorientating - even nauseating - who's in charge here? Who's accountable?

But if you recognize that that's their key weapon - the impenetrable fog - you'll soldier on - it's only fog. (The Andrews' Govt's enquiry (Vic, Aus) that found 'no-one was responsible' was a great

example of how *systems thinking* works to envelop whilst shielding participants from unaccountability.)

So when Qu says, *collective action,* there *are real people* directing that action. Paradoxically, despite the fog, they're hiding in plain sight. Just ask 'em ...

Bruce Kaplan (One Health co-founder): *This is evidenced by the numerous national and international meetings, symposia,* ***"official"*** *governmental agencies and* ***unofficial organizations****, university institutions and grass roots individuals* (UFWD?) *as well as* ***"VIPs"*** *raising the banner for more One Health performance and execution. .. A* ***host*** *of recent VIPs and prominent organizational endorsements have encouraged and helped by articulating One Health* ***positions and endorsements****.*

I bet they have.

BMJ Global Health Feb 2019: *(A) number of related movements have gained traction among health policymakers and funders over the past 15 years, including One Health, EcoHealth and Planetary Health. Since 2010, political and financial attention to One Health has* ***surged****, with several developments in the last 3 years.*

So a *surging* increase in power and money into centralized bodies.

BMJ Global Health: *FAO (Dr Qu Dong-yu, Director General), OIE (World Animal Health Information System) and WHO (Tedros) launched a strategy for partnership (known as the Tripartite Concept Note) in April 2010 ..based on One Health principles. The Tripartite released a second strategic document in 2017 reaffirming its commitment to 'more broadly embrace the One Health approach'*.

Again, sounds nice, probably started with good intentions, but it's become a power/data centralization device - a honeypot for despots. Sadly, that's the fatal flaw of globalization. It's why Aristotle favoured the small state - the polis (got him charged with impiety - same rap they got Socrates on - except Aristotle escaped - died shortly after in exile). It's easier to keep an eye on who's up to what. The ideal-sized state was one where you could hear the town-crier.

BMJ Global Health: ***Results****: We found that recent attention to One Health at* ***high-level political fora*** *has increased power struggles .. with stakeholders shifting operationalisation in directions most* ***aligned with their own interests****.*

Otherwise known as human nature. When *aligning their interests*, which amount to exerting an unelected global health authority, it's easy to see why they gravitate towards the CCP's system of government.

The One Health Oneness comes with its own built in rightness. It's a One-God-type model,under One Control. If you don't agree, you are, by definition, wrong. We are all One on One Planet in One Universe

Except we're not. We're different.

Existential psychology is based on the exact opposite tenet to *One-Everything* - ultimately, we're all in this alone. Our lives are the dynamic of how we accept/deny that scary knowledge. To some extent, we do need to cooperate - but we don't need to be *One*. It's not the answer. The magic formula *is:* a diversity dynamic

Oneness sucks the life out of that.

Recap:

- Once upon a time, the *woke-scientist community* woke-up to find they were rich & powerful.
- To further centralize/entrench that power, the *One Health* concept became the dominant ideology/finance-body in the science community.
- The One Health Editorial Board reads like a who's who of pro-CCP scientists.
- Two of the Director Generals from the Tripartite, FAO and WHO (Qu and Tedros), are outspoken pro-totalitarianists.
- This immense power was marshaled to cover-up the origin of Covid.
-

> Covid is used to justify restrictions on fundamental freedoms and invade privacy rights, thereby increasing centralized power

When your mind boggles at the sheer scale of the cover-up involved in the Covid Atrocity, the *One Health*-model provides *compelling evidence* of how a culture was invaded/dominated. That's actually normal - it's what cultures do if you let them. It's not only scientists. Under cover of the night - people - scientists or normal people - do - immoral things. Which is what happened with the *One Health* culture.

As Covid emerged *One Health* took disparate cultures to a *unified* global-level of bio-control. This power-play is determining the future of humanity. The thing about *The Future*, though - it doesn't have to be one particular way - despite the messaging & the seemingly inexorable trend towards Oneness.

Pre-Covid, all of the above was going on - by stealth. If we can find a positive in Covid, it would be that it's blown the lid on this drift towards global totalitarianism (lest we forget - the lid-blowing didn't happen by itself - took groups like DRASTIC - other random people - working independently).

The prevailing wind will be:

Now's not the time to talk about that coz we're concentrating on the current evolving emergency!

But that's a deflective tactic from the same people that brought us Covid. Now's a great time to talk about it.

Even if the *One Health Tripartite* ever did finish with their *evolving emergencies*, which they *never ever will*, it would be too late. It's designed that way.

The *One-Everything* zealotry sounds nice initially - if you want to get to the root cause of it - i blame Sir Bob Geldof (warning: graphic content) - though he genuinely didn't know what he was doing.

The next step is to take a look at those who did know what they were doing - and what's at stake here, aka: Why?

It's not pretty.

image-1641630322461.png