

Peter Daszak: Batman

If Covid was an engineered bat virus, then EcoHealth Alliance, was at the heart of it. Daszak, as head of EHA, led the cover-up operation - aided by the world's largest media platforms. This book examines how The Guardian & Daszak used their immense power to suppress the truth & vilify anyone asking questions.

- [Pete & Ian](#)
- [EcoHealth Alliance-WIV](#)
- [The Guardian](#)
- [The Opening Salvo ...](#)
- [Logic & Reason](#)
- [Daszak's Unique \(COI\) Position](#)
- [Dark Powers](#)
- [Essay Grading ...](#)
- [Daszak's CCP COIs](#)
- [Fox in charge of the hen-house](#)
- [Pete the Pariah?](#)

Pete & Ian

First published on [Formosa Hut](#), December 29, 2020

[image]

Peter Daszak is high-profile member of the WHO's *Team of Twelve* approved by China to investigate the origin of Covid.

Daszak is a close collaborator with W. Ian Lipkin, an author of the notorious [Proximal Origin](#) paper that purported to 'prove' Covid had not leaked from a lab - it was [pangolins that done it](#). They have co-authored several papers and articles and have been accused of [misinterpretation of data implicating dromedary camels in MERS](#) during a [shady trip to Saudi Arabia](#) in which *frozen samples accidentally were thawed en route to Columbia University*.

Thanks partially to a world-first critique of the Proximal Origin paper [published by](#) Formosa Hut in May 2020, and subsequent exposure from a group called [DRASTIC](#), that paper has now been discredited as a high-powered academic fraud committed on the behalves of the CCP and western interests. Before that though, the paper's *pangolin findings* were headlines in all major media outlets around the world for several weeks, during which time it achieved its purpose of suppressing speculation about a lab-leak.

The debunking of that paper, on which all arguments that Covid was natural in origin were initially based, received almost no coverage. At best, it was reduced to a by-line, such as in [this Dec 2020 puff-piece](#) about the upcoming WHO investigation that quietly mentions further examination *appeared to rule out pangolins (as) none had tested positive*.

Remember, this bedrock paper, published by Nature & authored by scientists with [massive China COIs](#), was touted by the bulk of the science community (including Daszak) & all main-stream media, as incontrovertible evidence that Covid was a natural event. Turned out to be a load of made-up crap.

I'd have thought **Top Scientists linked to CCP spread disinformation about Covid Origins** would be a good headline - i'd read it. But it seems *now is not the right time to talk about that*.

EcoHealth Alliance-WIV

Daszak is the head of [EcoHealth Alliance](#), Lipkin is a frequent collaborator with Daszak. EcoHealth scientists, including Daszak and Baric, have been [frequent collaborators](#) with those from Wuhan Institute of Virology where GoF (Gain-of-Function) experiments on bat viruses are performed, [ref ref ref](#).

Shi Zheng-li (Bat Lady), WIV, was part of the EHA team in 2008 that inserted a SARS sequence into an infectious bat virus backbone (SL-CoVs) to [make it more infectious](#). They wrote such research was *invaluable in formulating control strategies for potential future outbreaks*.

In [this article](#) Daszak reportedly brags that EcoHealth Alliance, in conjunction with WIV have:

*...racked up quite a number of successes. The team and its collaborators at the Wuhan Institute of Virology have collected about **15,000 samples from bats**. From these they have already **identified** ...about 50 (that) fall into a category that caused SARS and ... COVID-19.*

15,000 bat viruses! That place was/is a weapons factory waiting to explode. With up to 50 that potentially could have served as a progenitor for Covid. So that's confirmation from the horse's mouth (probably a blundering one) that WIV was holding bat viruses that could have caused Covid. *About 50 of them!*

*The researchers were also able to demonstrate that at least some of the **new bat coronaviruses they have found are capable of infecting a human cell in a petri dish**.*

Great. Let's unpack that. Peter Daszak, nicknamed Batman, was doing GoF experiments with Bat Lady at WIV.

WIV experiments involve collecting bat viruses, then engineering them (using other animals) so they are able to (a) infect human cells, & (b) become contagious human-to-human. They also repeatedly *passed* bat viruses through other animals (in a way not possible in nature) to produce mutations that may be infectious to humans. All this to **formulate control strategies for potential future outbreaks**. (Bear in mind this was 2008 - how did your control strategies go after 12 years of research?)

[Ecohealth's \\$3 mil funding was withdrawn](#) in May 2020 due to its ties to China. In July, NIH restored the funding but with [the following stipulations](#), including:

- provide a sample of the pandemic coronavirus that WIV used to determine the viral genetic sequence
- arrange for an outside inspection of WIV and its records with specific attention to addressing the question of whether WIV staff had SARS-CoV-2 in their possession prior to December 2019

- explain diminished cell-phone traffic in October 2019, and the evidence that there may have been roadblocks surrounding the facility from October 14-19, 2019
- provide the NIH with WIV's responses to the 2018 Department of State cables regarding safety concerns.

EcoHealth has refused to comply.

EcoHealth 'pioneered' the push for Remdesivir as a treatment, which was approved, (ke-ching! Big-time) but it didn't seem to stop Covid. Bat Lady was also [in on that deal](#).

Let's say you do think there's a possibility that the virus leaked from an Wuhan lab. It's then a reasonable deduction, that if it did, Peter Daszak and EHA's go-to Gain-of-Function whizzes, [Ralph Baric](#) & [Linfa Wang](#) (CSIRO/WIV) worked on that virus. (note: Daszak wasn't much of a scientist - his specialty was PR, rustling up funding)

The question then is: How the heck is Daszak's appointment to this elite panel of WHO investigators not a massive conflict of interest? The guy is a leading *person-of-interest* in any serious investigation. It's hard to imagine a person on earth with more to lose from a lab-event finding than Daszak.

Already accused of [misinterpreting data](#) re MERS in a deal with the Saudi regime, here he is running interference for another totalitarian regime, the CCP, in order to shield attention from his own involvement with WIV.

The fact that he was appointed as a WHO investigator in the first place is strong prima facie evidence that the whole investigation is a sham.

[image]

[photo: Daily Mail](#)

The Guardian

Doing research, occasionally you stumble across a gem. Usually it's the subject talking. You don't want to get waylaid following one tangent - but sometimes it's too good to ignore. Sometimes, everything you need to know is revealed in that tangent. In that vein let's take a look-see at [this article](#):

Ignore the Conspiracy Theories: Scientists know Covid-19 wasn't Created in a Lab

By:

Dr. Peter Daszak ([BSc, Bangor University](#), Wales, [ranked 601-650 in the world](#))

Top-Ten Expert in the Known Universe. Commissioned by WHO to investigate the origins of Covid. Can he save the planet from certain doom?

Spread over 3 exciting episodes.

Proudly promoted and published by:

The Guardian:

We believe everyone deserves to read quality, independent, factual news and authoritative, calm analysis.

(Which is cleverly phrased - ***we believe everyone deserves to read quality news*** - you're not gonna actually get that at The Guardian, your gonna get a pack of vested-interest lies, but at we least *we believe you deserve better!*)

(Please note: Any character depicted in this drama - is that person or Big-Media entity in real life)

[image]

The Opening Salvo ...

(all quotes from Daszak's Guardian article - all brackets are mine)

Ignore the Conspiracy Theories: Scientists know Covid-19 wasn't Created in a Lab

In a recent interview with the Telegraph, the former [head of MI6 Sir Richard Dearlove](#) cited an "important" [scientific report](#) that suggested that the novel coronavirus had not emerged naturally, but had been created by Chinese scientists. Dearlove said he believed (he never said believed) the pandemic had "started as an accident" after the virus escaped the lab.

Daszak has stated his propositions in the title. His use of a pejorative term, *Conspiracy Theories* (don't debate them - *ignore them*), in the heading is telling - as is the use of *Scientists know*. Not *theorize* mind you- *that's what those nasty Conspiracy Theorists do* - no - *Scientists just know*. Which is all you non-scientist people need to know.

They *don't know* as a point of fact coz this is before the WHO Investigation has even started, but it's telling that Daszak (a) purports to represent all scientist & (b) insists in bold as his *Headline* that they already *know* the result of an investigation that hasn't yet happened.

Daszak then posits the-argument-against up front: Any investigation into Covid's origin (on which Daszak is a WHO Investigator) should include an examination of the possibility that it leaked from an Wuhan lab. Which is all they're arguing - i'm curious how you're going to counter that ... But it's commendable academic format - put the headline argument of your opponents (Sir Richard Dearlove & Prof Birger Sørensen) out there - then methodically take it apart. If you're good enough...

This should be interesting - Daszak V Dearlove - a heavyweight clash of the experts! *World Health Org V Ex-MI6 Head ... UFC for intellectuals ...*

*Suggestions that Covid-19 is a manmade virus are the **latest chapter in a tale of blame, misinformation and finger-pointing.***

Not sure what the *first chapters* were - but we do *point the finger & blame you for causing Covid*, so, two out of three i'd agree. Wait, blame - finger pointing - it's a tautology, so one out of two. You would've got two out of two but it wasn't a *tale of misinformation* - it was *disinfo* - you know that better than anyone, Pete.

Cue the conspiracy theorists, marching out their **narrative** about the **high-security BSL-4 lab in Wuhan**, ([which there is](#)) where **mysterious experiments to design "frankenviruses"** (which there are) led to the **tragic global pandemic**.

It's not *mysterious* Pete. WIV scientists have published papers on engineering viruses to make them more contagious [since 2008](#) - it's *what they do there* - it's what [you do there](#) - to protect us, remember? In case something like Covid ever happens... whereupon, you & rest of the Bat-Pack become cartoon heroes (whilst making a killing). What's *mysterious* is why *The Guardian* is platforming you & who controls *The Guardian*?

Cue the genetic analyses pointing to "unexpected" insertions in the code of A, G, T, and C that explain how this virus could not have evolved naturally.

Are independent genetic analyses not allowed now? Why not? Oh, sorry i know, coz we already Cued them as *Conspiracy Theorists* first up! Right, Cue the scientist up to his eyeballs in China COIs, about to say Cue again...

Cue political **posturing against China**, with **calls for an inquiry**, trade sanctions and even reparations.

Calls for an inquiry! How dare they! The world is irreversibly altered by Covid and now they want to know why? By posturing against China. How dare they insult a perfectly respectable totalitarian regime!

Summary: Pete piles-on the *Conspiracy Theorist* name-calling (twice in the opening) like that means something, then cues-off - but nothing in the way of a rebuttal or any details. Maybe that's coming soon - let's see ...

Logic & Reason

Daszak - The Guardian

Determining the origins and emergence of a pandemic is as messy and complex as studying a [plane crash](#).

*Just as an air crash investigator pieces together fragments at a crash site, pinpointing the origins of a new virus is **painstakingly difficult** (not so difficult as you're making out Pete - we'll look at that later) ...and **time-consuming** (= public money-consuming; to pay for the time consuming) ... and requires **logic and reason**. (tautology, but agreed).*

So using *logic and reason*, if the plane crashed in Wuhan - wouldn't you investigate the site of the crash in Wuhan? Look for the black box? In this case: WIV's internationally accessible database on which new viruses are meant to be logged?

As first reported by *DRASTIC*, that database has been mostly offline since [Sep 12 2019](#), with at least [100 unpublished sequences](#) of bat betacoronaviruses as well as unpublished experimental-results data from RaTG13 and BtCoV/4991, [collected from the Yunan mine event](#) in the spring of 2012.

What's the *logic and reason* behind holding an investigation and not looking at that?

According to you, it will exonerate WIV & vindicate your [open and transparent](#) advocacy of China. So why not investigate it?

*I know that (origin investigation requires logic and reason) because this is exactly what our organisation, EcoHealth Alliance, does. We work around the world to identify the origins of pandemics, **map them** and analyse them, and use these results to **predict where the next pandemic** will likely emerge.*

*We then target these "hotspots" for **enhanced surveillance**, capacity-building and **risk-reduction** programmes to **prevent diseases** emerging.*

Rewind to 2017 BC, [EcoAlliance produced their predictive heatmap](#) - lets see how they went with their *enhanced surveillance, capacity-building and risk-reduction programmes to prevent diseases emerging*.

[Pic a](#)

[image]

Heat maps of predicted relative risk distribution of zoonotic EID (Emerging Infectious Diseases) events. **Pic a** shows the predicted distribution of new events being observed (weighted model output with current reporting effort)

Most likely areas of new zoonotic crossover events, according to EHA were Europe, the US and Japan. China barely registers.

Here's a close up of Asia:

[image]

We've got three hotspots in China - Hong Kong, Shanghai and Beijing. Wuhan was not on the radar. It should have been. Given WIV was/is housing *more than 16 000 bat viruses*, it should be coloured blazing red.

Daszak's research partner, Shi Zheng-li (Bat Lady) [commented when first told of the outbreak](#):

I wondered if [the municipal health authority] got it wrong. I had never expected this kind of thing to happen in Wuhan, in central China.

Shi had pegged Guangdong, Guangxi and Yunnan to be China's hotspots for a bat to human crossover event. Her first thoughts were: *Could they have come from our lab?*

The bottom-line is:

None of EcoHealth's scientists (with all their millions in funding) predicted an outbreak in Wuhan. So either their research has been a waste of money - or - there's another explanation for why it happened in Wuhan - it came from the lab.

The fact that Daszak is still insisting that WIV be excluded from any investigation only serves to heighten suspicion.

Daszak's Unique (COI) Position



Bat Lady (Shi Zheng-li), **The Dark Knight** (Linfa Wang), & **Batman** (Pete)

Peter Daszak - The Guardian

*Our **15 years of work in China** now puts us in a **unique position** to identify, with a **remarkable degree of confidence**, the likely origin of Covid-19.*

Agreed - it does. It also puts you in a *unique* conflict of interest position - whereby one might be tempted to suppress incriminating evidence and spread disinformation in order to cover one's *unique* arse.

(We reported 781 genetic sequences of bat-origin coronaviruses previously unknown to scientists (including) the closest known relatives to Sars-CoV-2.

Good job. Again confirming that the *closest **known** relatives* (not only RaTG13) to SARS-Cov-2 were held at WIV ... prior to the Covid outbreak. That bears repeating, not only RaTG13, but other undisclosed bat viruses collected from all over the SE Asia "*hotspots*" were held at the Bat Lab.

If you have other viruses at WIV *closely related* to Covid, why haven't you released them? Sorry, *made them public* is better phrasing, coz you may well have *released* them, right? With a few modifications.

All are carried by horseshoe bats that are found across southern China (1000 kilometres away) and neighbouring countries. (even further away)

But not Wuhan. That's why [Bat Lady thought](#) it had leaked from the lab.

*Our report firmly concludes that Covid-19 originated in bats, **in a hotspot of viral evolution** along the border of Yunnan province in China, Myanmar, Laos and Vietnam.*

Hang on Pete, that's illogical and/or misleading. All it proves is that Covid is related to a strain of virus that the *Bat Pack* held at WIV, which we already suspected & you've now *horse's-mouth* confirmed. Still don't know all the different kinds or what crazy GoF-shit you had cooking-up with them though. We don't know that coz the database was taken down on **Sep 12, 2019**.

Whatever: it definitely doesn't prove the geographical origin of the outbreak to have been 1000s of ks away from where it actually broke-out - right-next-door to the Bat Lab in Wuhan.

*Unfortunately, this sort of logic (illogical logic) will not deter **conspiracy theorists** (such as former head of MI6 [Sir Richard Dearlove](#), the guy you're arguing with).*

You're right there, Pete, it won't deter us from getting to The Origin of Covid. If anything, it casts more suspicion on WIV - the real "*hotspot*" of viral evolution - with a little evolutionary help from your friends.

You were all there busily GoFFing-up viruses, to *make them contagious to humans* (to get more funding, weirdly), when, against all your predictions, there was an outbreak in Wuhan, *right-next-door* to the Bat Lab.

Now you want to rule out a leak from WIV? That's not logical.

RaTG13, the closest known relative to SARS-Cov-2, was [collected from the same Yunnan cave](#) where 6 miners contracted a suspected *SARS-like illness* in 2012 according to a [Kun Ming Medical](#)

[University paper](#) at the time. Three of them died.

On one hand, WIV scientists (Shi Zhengli) [dismissed that 2102 report](#) as being *neither based on evidence nor logic, (b)ut used by conspiracy theorists to doubt me*, saying the miners merely had a fungal infection, not a coronavirus.

On the other hand, they're (EHA/WIV) saying, *with a remarkable degree of confidence*, that the same viruses collected from that cave, *the closest known relatives to SARS-CoV-2*, are responsible for the super-contagious-to-humans virus, Covid. Those propositions are contradictory.

[For the record](#), there is no firm evidence that a deadly bat-coronavirus has ever directly infected a human in the wild & become contagious to other humans. Despite what you hear. The narrative always involves some *mysterious intermediary species*. The more we investigate the origin of Covid, the more that intermediary species looks to be *THE Scientists* themselves.

Dark Powers

Daszak's Concluding Argument

*The **dark power** of the **internet** means that anyone, anywhere, can find evidence to echo even the **most outlandish of claims**.*

Pete, insisting that the CCP, a textbook *Dark Power*, is [open and transparent](#), as you've repeatedly done, *is the most outlandish claim* imaginable. Easily disprovable. Hit me up in the comments, Pete, if you need examples. Do you rather mean: science-experts-on-the-take are the only *dark power* authorised to *find evidence to echo even the most outlandish of claims*?

(editing note: later [i discovered](#) Pete's words make *much-more-sense* if you flip 'em - swap in *scientists* every time he talks about his enemies - that revelation came too late for this book, but bear it in mind as you read Dazza's quotes - it will make everything funnier if nothing else)

Regardless, it's not what Sir Richard, the person you nominated as your opponent at the beginning of this article, did. He simply cited an [academic paper \(Sorensen, Dalglish\)](#) raising concerns about the possibility of a *lab-origin*. Via a *lab-accident*. Same as has occurred [many, many](#) times in the past. Pointing out the over-the-top vitriol this bleedingly-obvious observation provoked from *THE Science Community*.

On my journey, that emotional response from scientists was, in itself, is a massive **Red-Flag Alert**. Deductively, the only explanation is: something *fishy* is going on here.

*Theories that **Sars originated from space** or that HIV was manmade (or the CCP is open and transparent) are readily available, but it doesn't make them true. Such **conspiracies** play to our most base instincts and paranoias - fears that **dissolve logic and reason**.*

Cool! I don't know what the *World-Record-Strawman* is, but that'd give it a shake. Let's report how far our *world-leading expert WHO-backed scientist academic intellectual* has *moved-the-bar* here - for posterity.

Sir Richard Dearlove argues:

The possibility Covid *started as an accident* should be considered in any investigation, and notes *attempts by the leadership to **lock down any debate** about the origins of the pandemic and the way that people have been [arrested or silenced](#)*. Apart from his own knowledge of how the CCP works, as ex-head of MI6, he provided the Sorensen, Dalglish paper as evidence.

You: feel threatened by that so resort to childish name-calling. You're only *evidence* is self-incriminating - admitting that WIV held the planet's entire collection of: *the closest known relatives*

to Sars-CoV-2. From there you leap to equating Dearlove's concerns about CCP transparency to *theories that SARS originated from space!* (Btw, there *is* a plausible theory that [AIDS was man-made](#), despite your efforts to use it as Strawman.)

Meanwhile, you remain adamant that WIV should not be investigated because:

*I've **worked with the WIV for a good decade or more.** I **know** some of the people there pretty well and I have **visited the labs frequently**, [I've met and had dinner with them over 15 years.](#)*

That's a valid reason for why you have a conflict of interest and shouldn't be allowed anywhere near the investigation, except on the other side of the interrogation table. But it's not a valid reason for quarantining WIV from the investigation.

This conflict of interest and name-calling response raises a couple of questions:

1. Is this the kind of *logic and reason* you're going to be applying during the WHO Investigation?
2. WTF are you up to on behalf of the CCP?

Essay Grading ...

(under construction) When grading Daszak's Guardian essay, it's important to remember that our Celebrity Scientist author is:

- advertised (self & Org-wise) as a *World-Leading-Expert* - the *best-of-the-best* science intellects - selected in the original Top Ten origin-hunters - *on-the-planet*
- backed personally by *millions-of-dollars* in (taxpayer) funding - the visible *cream-off-the-top* of what your *Non-Profit Org*, the ironically-named *EcoHealth Alliance* raked-in (95% US tax-payer funded, tyvm Tony)
- backed by access to state-of-the-art Bat Lab facilities (the most-expensive kind - with horrific cruelty to animals, btw) (holy grail: cross the Rubicon to engineered, humanly contagious bat-viruses (to get more funding!))
- entrusted with representing the *World Health Organization on-behalf-of us-all* to understand the origin of a disaster that altered the course of humanity (killed millions whilst catapulting humanity into a future-gone-bad Blade-runner *unreality*).

Hell! That's an awful lot of world-leading-intellect-force to contend with there, Pete! In your mind (& that of many scientists), simply stating your credentials is a winning argument all by itself: *Ignore the Conspiracy Theorists - Scientists **KNOW** Covid wasn't created in a lab.* But it's not enough for a winning argument. The beauty of *logic* is that it doesn't care about how much money or power you've got or whether you're a Top Ten scientist or a humble chicken farmer. Logic only cares about your premise, your details to support that premise, & whether your conclusion is based on sound reasoning ... or not. To simply keep saying *I JUST KNOW*, & anyone who questions me is a Conspiracy Theorist, doesn't cut it.

On any report card, it's important to say something positive, so if the goal was to create a *fog-of-irrationality* to cover your Ass/ Origins of Covid by spreading CCP disinformation, then i'd have to give you *A+ ... for effort.* (Though *THE Guardian/Oxford* deserves part of the credit as the mega-platform promoting you) The audaciousness you showed, your social-media-skills, your use of MSM to trumpet your messaging - that was all top-notch.

But as a critical thinking argument Pete, if that was written by a Year 10 student, you couldn't in all honesty give it a pass mark ...

Here's a recap as to why:

You've provided *no-evidence* to support your contention that ex-head of MI6's call to investigate a lab-origin *dissolves all logic & reason.* *Thereby making him/the authors of the paper he dared cite Conspiracy Theorists ..*

A barrage of immature name-calling doesn't make it so, Pete, i'm sorry. It's unscientific. It only diminishes you, & your argument.

Your use of the pejorative term *Conspiracy Theorist* (like it means something) four times, in the one article, is too much. (As is the dozens of more times you use it in all your Covid-writings - are you on some kinda money-per-mention deal?)

Your efforts to dismiss research pointing to a lab-origin as an *outlandish claim*, equivalent to saying SARS originated from space is a silly Strawman.

You claim *Scientists KNOW ...* But no explanation of *how they know* - given the WIV database was taken down & we haven't even had the investigation yet. If one of the chief investigators is claiming it's a foregone conclusion even before it's happened, then straight-away we can deduce that the WHO Investigation is a sham. That that person is heavily invested in covering the truth up.

You do cite the notorious *Proximal Origin* paper published in Nature (aka *The Pangolin Paper*), assuring us it was *peer-reviewed* & written by *senior virologists* who *strongly refuted* a lab-origin. But provide no details. Again, the words *Nature*, *senior virologists*, *peer-reviewed* are meant to be winning arguments. Listen to THE Experts. Don't question them.

But when one did question them, examinations of that paper (including a [world-first critique](#) by Formosa Hut), exposed the [glaring China COIs](#) of these *senior virologists*. As well as the flawed logic of blaming Covid onto pangolin & the Nanhua Seafood Market in the first place (see links for details). When a far more obvious explanation (WIV) was staring them in the face.

(Edit note: FOI emails (obtained after i wrote this) from the authors of Prox-O revealed that they all thought Covid [looks engineered](#) - they wrote the paper specifically to deflect attention from that. Still not in jail somehow (Mar '23)

The implications of the above are frightening: our world-leading experts, that we pay for, relied on - when it came to the crunch, they were happy to betray us, & every principle of science & ethics, in order to commit a global, academic fraud. Why? To protect a totalitarian regime - & their own self-interests.

At Formosa Hut we have this *Golden Rule*:

When a person resorts to irrational name-calling in a discussion about details - they are revealing their insecurity.

For example a homophobe might be insecure about their own sexuality, or a racist about their personal value as a human. The language used in emotive outbursts is always revealing. It was this rule that first alerted us to something odd going on with *THE Science Community*. Why on earth are you demonizing people for stating the obvious? In Daszak's case, for example, he can't stop using the term ***Conspiracy Theorist*** to attack his opponents & accusing them of being devoid of ***logic and reason***.

Therefore, using *Golden Rule* theory, Pete, you *are* conspiring to cover-up the origin of Covid, & *logic* is your greatest insecurity.

You see *logic* as your enemy. Which in your case, it is:

Logically, the WHO Investigation would include a deep-dive into what was going on at the Bat Lab, right-next-door to where the outbreak occurred.

Logically, you would not be part of the investigation due to your massive conflict of interest regarding WIV.

Logically, WIV wouldn't have shut down their database of bat-viruses (which is meant to be internationally accessible) if there was nothing to hide.

Logically, you wouldn't be ruling out a lab-event before it had even been examined.

Logically, you wouldn't be lauding a closed, totalitarian regime as *open & transparent*, when everyone knows they're not.

None of this would really matter - you'd be one more scientist with a COI-tainted viewpoint - who's way more interested in their next funding cheque than they are in the truth. What's frightening, though, is the platform you have (MSM + WHO), from which to spread your disinformation - the immense power that entails - including the power to force people across the globe to comply with this fake reality.

We've examined Daszak's Guardian article in-depth as a case-study & found it light on detail, big on name-calling, riddled with contradictions & non-truths. I chose it because it's typical of *all* Daszak's Celebrity Scientist contributions to the Origin of Covid discussion. To prove that assertion, & explain why he does it, the next page covers his other writings & the China COIs that motivate him.

Daszak's CCP COIs

(under construction) Fascism is an inflammatory term - i'm about to argue Daszak, despite insisting he's *taking the politics out*, is a *Fascism propagator* - so having been critical of our *World-Leading Experts* for using emotive language, let's park the subjectivity, go straight to the [Britannica Dictionary](#):

Fascism : a way of **organizing a society** in which a government ruled by a dictator **controls the lives of the people** and in which people are **not allowed to disagree** with the **government**

Which reminds me of a joke: I met this student from China who was studying abroad - i asked her *So what's it like living in China?*

She said, *Arh, can't complain...*

The def is a *word-by-word* description of life under CCP rule. Led by the dictator, Xi Jinping.

Replete with:

- *Vocational Training Camps* for Uyghurs
- Mostly non-physically-brutal*, but psychological-brutal repression of Hong Kongese protesters. Who wanted what they were *promised-in-writing* - One Country - **Two Systems**. The leaders were jailed, participants filed on the facial-recognition-cloud - under-cover of the

Global Covid crack-down on human freedoms.

(*fascism is not some image of *crazed-soldiers-in-pick-up trucks* machine-gunning down dissenters - it's nothing like that - it's *organized* - by a government ruled by a dictator - it plays out as a legalized strangulation of dissent.)

(Reminds me of a another joke - actually a real-life recount from Paddy, who sold great chili sauce with his wife at a roadside stall in Dulan outside 7-11.

Paddy: Was talking to this foreigner guy - FOB - he said *i heard there was a lot of organized crime in Taiwan - i haven't seen anything ...*

I said: *That's why they call it **Organized***)

- jailing + organ harvesting of Falun Gong dissidents
- extremist use of bio-metric surveillance
- silencing of anyone disagreeing with the government

As such: it was a huge red-flag when our top western Celebrity Scientists banded together to sing-the-praises of the CCP, a textbook *fascist*, totalitarian regime.

Leading this bizarre PR offensive, fully-platformed in the western press (!) was Peter Daszak.

Here's Pete's track record:

You praised the CCP's approach as [open and transparent](#) on [multiple occasions](#) - criticized anyone saying otherwise as [crackpots](#).

You're a WHO investigator who is also an activist agitating for [NO Investigation of a Leak!](#) (*When do we want it?! NEVER!*), describing [calls by NIH](#) for an investigation as [outrageous](#), [heinous](#), [absurd](#) .. *pure baloney*. & above all: [a conspiracy theory](#) (your favourite term)

You're a [fan of the China lockdown model](#) which includes the [jailing](#) of anyone reporting non-CCP approved information.

An [FOI request revealed](#) you wrote the embarrassing political statement, known as the [Lancet Petition](#), praising China's transparency, despite massive evidence against that, then sought to cover that fact up saying you wanted it to *not be identifiable as coming from any one organization or person .. to avoid the appearance of a [political statement](#)*.

You named your [top heroes](#) as Shi Zhengli (Batwoman), and WHO boss Mike Ryan ("[my champion of champions](#)"), who also [praised China's](#) response and transparency - while telling the non-China world [not to wear masks](#). He also gave you this highly-paid WHO investigator gig.)

You're cool with the Hong Kong protest being suffocated by Covid restrictions, the Uyghur camps. (You say 'I don't get involved in politics' - a lie coz your Twitter account is a live stream of political cheering for Biden and [China](#).)

Your EcoHealth Alliance maps of China [show Taiwan as a Province of China](#). It's not - it's an [independent democratic country](#) - that the Totalitarian CCP threatens to invade. You're cool with that.

You're leading the vilification of anyone who dares question the official CCP/WHO origin of Covid, including Sir Richard Dearlove and several epidemiologists and virologists.

So what's the common-denominator here? It's all lockstep with the CCP's propaganda machine.

Just for your reference, here's the law for [Treason](#) in the US:

*Treason is defined as intentionally betraying one's allegiance by levying war against the government or **giving aid or comfort to its enemies**. It's the most serious offense one can commit against the government and is punishable by imprisonment and death.*

Fox in charge of the hen-house

The WHO Investigation pitches itself as the world's experts applying their peerless intellect on behalf of the global-public (who is paying for them) to determine the Origin of Covid. As they never tire of telling us, *we're scientist, we take the politics out*. Fellow-WHO-investigator Dominic Dwyer puts it thus:

[Dominic Dwyer \(Dec 2, 2020\)](#): As a **doctor and a scientist**, I think politics complicates getting the answers. So I'd rather keep that aside. ..1:20: Our work as **scientists** is separate from politicians so we will try to **leave the politics out**.

They looked straight to the global-camera as they said this. Traded on a sacred trust that they had from society: *we only follow the science - we only report what's true - we're above reproach*

In fact: WHO's Covid Origin Investigation is all an elaborate sham - a stage-manged charade - of frightening proportions.

We know that because:

- the representatives were all [vetted by the CCP](#).
- many of them (including WHO boss, Tedros) are on record as praising the CCP, saying [China's commitment to transparency](#) is .. impressive, and **beyond words** - an obvious *non-truth*, easily disprovable
- many of the investigators have ruled out a lab-origin even before the investigation has begun!
- many of them are [up-to-their-eyeballs](#) in CCP COIs - you don't commit this level of academic fraud for no reason

After putting Sir Richard in his place, you, as a full-time Twitter anti-investigation investigator activist, took issue with NIH director, Francis Collins. Now, Collins is no *natural-origin skeptic*, not by a long-shot - he was a key figure in the [Feb 1 Teleconference](#) that cooked-up the global cover-up strategy (to cover your monumental *Celebrity Scientist Fuck-Up?*) in the first place. But when Francis started shitting his pants (one of the first), began making some subtle arse-covering statements, you were all over his arse like a rash. For example, [this exchange](#):

[Collins](#): *Whether [the coronavirus] could have been in some way isolated and studied in this laboratory in Wuhan, we have no way of knowing.*

(As tepid as tepid could be ... right?)

[Daszak](#) (May 29, 2020): **Disappointing!** @NIHDirector clearly doesn't understand the **weight of his words** on this **conspiracy-laden** issue. It's **simply not enough to say that you cannot rule out a lab-origin**. We need **leadership** at this point, not **scientific hesitancy**.

(Luckily we have your *leadership* as a non-hesitant CCP-stooge/WHO investigator to shut down the possibility of a lab leak without investigating it!)

Conspiracy theories rely on the inability to **prove a negative**. We need the **leader of our Nation's biomedical research agency** to challenge **conspiracy theorists** & at the **very least** mention the **TOTAL LACK OF EVIDENCE** for it **originating in a lab!**

First point, the statement that Covid may have *originated in a lab - must be investigated* - is not a *negative* Pete - it's a *positive* statement. Saying *Scientists KNOW Covid wasn't manmade* - your headline - now *that's* a *negative*. What the hell did they teach you there at Bangor University?

Secondly, both the *positive* & the *negative* could *easily* be proved, but you're blocking all attempts to gather evidence with your *leadership*. Golden Rule #2 *No evidence is evidence* (of a cover-up). You can't turn the data-base off then shout at at your interrogators: there's a **TOTAL LACK OF EVIDENCE!**

Thirdly, can you give the *Conspiracy Theorist* name-calling a rest, Pete? It only draws attention to your insecurities.

Speaking of which, the pertinent question arising from this analysis of your CCP-spokesperson performance is: Why? Why stick your *CelebrityScienceAlliance-neck* out *so far* to protect a *totalitarian regime*?

Vested interest is the *bat-shit* obvious answer - but *how* vested?

If we go back to *Golden Rule #1: emotive language = insecurity*, then the volume of emotive language indicates the degree of insecurity. On the Richter-scale that positions you&your org, EcoHealth Alliance right at the centre of the Covid cover-up. Smack-bang into *causal* territory.

We know EcoHealth Alliance & WIV were deeply entwined over *15 year* period, coz you told us. So if Covid does have a lab-origin, then it's *highly-likely* that it was engineered by this [Bat Pack collective](#). That would make you/your org the source of the greatest global-health disaster since the Black Plague.

That's a lot of death, Pete. If you did cause it, then covered it up, when coming clean at the beginning would have made all the difference, then that makes you personally responsible for the greatest mass-man-slaughter in the history of humanity.

Luckily, you've implicated the entire *THE Science Community* in this atrocity, which has provided you with powerful backing in your cover-up endeavors in order to protect their wider interests. But when/if the trials come, Pete, you've got sacrificial-lamb written all over you.

(Daszak's shenanigans continue [here](#).)

Pete the Pariah?

Postscript (9 months later, Sep 2, '21)

A while ago i started writing a semi-fictional movie script where a tight-knit *cohort* of scientists decided to release a SARS-like superbug in order to (a) get rich & (b) *Save the World*

According to their twisted logic a virus-release would boost the power of THE Science Community, which would then *save the world* ... from global-warming ... or conspiracy theorists ... or democracy ... or u-name-it ...

The *deaths* of the old & frail would be unfortunate, a *necessary evil*, but function as an over-due global-house-cleaning ... a *reset* ...)

Pete was a prototype character, of course (along with the Prox-O-5. Not much of a scientist, actually, but a great *Celebrity Scientist*. That was his contribution to *THE Science* cause. Which he *loved* doing. Going on CNN, chatting to journos from *THE Guardian* - it was a buzz - like only a *performer* gets. Playing the *Eco-Health* game for all it was worth (which was already a *lot* - became *the mother-lode*) - to a gullible audience.

His love of/addiction to being a Celebrity Scientist was met by the media's love of/addiction to platforming him - a perfect self-sustaining loop of energy. Until it wasn't ...

Towards the end of that movie, our characters fly to China, as they have done regularly throughout their careers. But this time the CCP arrests them at the airport, blames them for the release of Covid. They are accused them of duping *Bat Lady* & *The Dark Knight* whilst operating an undercover-plot for the *imperialist forces of the west* to bring down China. Xi Jinping declared: *Their names are to be nailed to the mast of infamy*

News report: *They're currently awaiting a trial date for mass-murder at an undisclosed location. China's foreign ministry spokesperson asked other countries not to meddle in the internal affairs of China.*

Which ... they didn't. Due to the international nature of scientists releasing a deadly virus on the world, a joint report from US intelligence agencies decided it was better to *let-it-slide*. A few sacrificial lambs. A cohort of *rogue scientists acting alone*. Tie up the loose ends. Same as we did with [Anthrax](#).

Now, *if* this China Embassy *leak* is accurate, we might have a case of art-imitating-life-imitating-art...

[China Embassy in France](#): According to an article published in the scientific journal *Nature* in 2018, a **Fort Detrick researcher** had collaborated with **Ralph Baric** to infect monkeys with genetically

modified MERS-CoV.

Which is, i'm guessing, without looking it up, *true*, as shocking as it sounds - all kinds of *batshit-crazy* stuff was going on in the Bat GoF-world at that time. *Right before* Covid happened. It's a big development though for the CCP to go after a Western Scientist as originator of Covid. The official line, repeated time after time, has been:

[Wang Wenbin](#), Foreign Affairs spokesperson: *China's position on the study of the origins of SARS-CoV-2 is **consistent** (which it is). We **always** support and **participate** in **science-based global origins tracing**. At the same time, we **firmly oppose** all forms of **political manipulation**.*

Take the politics out - trust the global-scientists - same line that Dominic Dwyer, Aussie-WHO-Investigator loves [parroting](#).

*China has **shared** more data and **research findings** on SARS-CoV-2 origins study than any other country. This **fully demonstrates** China's **open, transparent** and responsible attitude and its support for the **work of the WHO** and **SAGO**. China will continue to support **science-based global origins tracing** and keep up communication and **cooperation** with the **WHO**.*

It's rare to read an official pronouncement on Covid that's not full of lies, but the above, apart from the *fully demonstrating* CCP being *open & transparent*, obviously, is all true. CCP & WHO are basically the same Org - exact same messaging: *listen to science-based global origins tracers* (which we *have-in-our-pockets*)

So, imaging wise this leak from the Chinese Embassy in France is *shocking* ... but not surprising. CCP knows exactly what happened, they're not gonna be above playing their cards if it does fully come-out that it was *science based global-origin-tracers* that caused it.. You're gonna manoeuvre in that space. Below the surface ...

With that thinking framework, you're gonna go after Baric, the guy did more to bring GoF research to China than anyone. Which was fine until he authored a key [Backflip Olympics statement](#) earlier in the year, pointedly not ruling out a lab-event. Rat-jumping-ship - of course you target Baric. But then there's this:

*In December 2019, before the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic, **Peter Daszak, president of the EcoHealth Alliance**, a New York-based NGO, **admitted*** in an interview with *This Week in Virology* that his alliance had **cooperated with Ralph Baric** and the University of North Carolina to **modify coronaviruses in the lab using genetic technology**, so viruses can take over **human cells**. After studying more than 100 species of viruses similar to SARS-CoV, they **discovered** that certain viruses can cause **serious illnesses** that are incurable and unresponsive to vaccines.*

(You'd be stunned by how much self-incriminating stuff was *admitted* on *TWIV*, a super-friendly *THE Science* podcast space)

So now the CCP is going after poor old Pete!?

No non-Chinese person on the planet* has done more to cover for the CCP than Peter Daszak:

- [authored](#) the notorious Lancet Statement
- led the [conspiracy theorist](#) vilification
- championed WIV researchers, especially [Bat Lady](#)

(*[Ian Lipkin](#), [Eddie Holmes](#), [Dominic Dwyer](#) have maybe done as much, but not more than Pete)

Now he gets thrown to the sharks by the ones he was trying to protect?! Technically, Pete's Org may have caused the whole catastrophe & he was only ever trying to protect his own arse, which coincided with the CCP's interests, but stilll ...

We've already [speculated](#) that Baric has flipped (cooperating with US Security Agencies, he fully-flipped with pike in terms of his anti-lab-leak position), but this makes me wonder, has Daszak flipped too? Hence the attack by the CCP? Or is the CCP turning on him proactively, making some moves.

Pete always struck me as the weak-link - he's vain, a moral-charlatan ... and in-the-thick of *everything* - therefore susceptible to breaking in a robust interrogation - especially if immunity was on the table.

The question is, if either of these two have flipped: Do the US intelligence agencies have the will to act on it?

Probably not. For the same reasons that the Covid cover-up involved so many higher-ups from the beginning - they saw themselves *as too big to fail* - nothing has changed there. Same way you need to *print money* to bail out a major bank that's fucked-up, we need to print BS to to bail out *THE Science*. We have to ... coz of *contagion to the system*. Think of the damage it could do to the public's general *Trust*. There would be a *run on Trust ... in THE Experts*. Which would create: *chaos*.

If you're the establishment, got everything humming along nicely ... you can't have that. [You just can't](#). *What about all our future plans of controlling the future?* No, you have to act decisively. Which is exactly what happened.

The consequences of exposing the origin of Covid involves exposing the core corruption of the whole *rule by experts* system. That would mean the experts exposing themselves & admitting gross fraud that resulted in the deaths of however many millions of people. Of all the systemic-corruption cases i've looked into, never encountered a single one where the subjects willingly came clean.

Here's another possibility:

The CCP also didn't understand the *extent* of what-the-hell Batman, Baric, [The Dark Knight](#) (Linfa Wang) and Bat Lady et al were up to. Likely even.

As they point out in the missive - the whole crazy GoF thing was a western scientists' invention. Which is true. When it was banned in 2014 in the US, the whole circus migrated to China - at a time when the CCP was busily milking western academics for their tech secrets. Match made in heaven - until it all blew up.

With GoF brought to you by *Pete & Ralph* though - did CCP folks get more than they bargained for?

This makes intuitive sense.

1. The Bat Pack were busily trying to engineer a super-bug, which they reasoned would be a funding-jackpot (which it was)
2. They succeeded
3. The super-bug exited the building

This explains the weird situation where both sides, the West & China, are co-operating to cover-up the origin - coz they're both guilty of producing Covid.

As to how it exited the building, deliberate or accidental, i still lean towards an accident (despite my movie-script), though the motive for a deliberate release is clearly there - along with a compelling precedent in the [Anthrax Terrorist Attacks](#).

As for poor old Pete, it remains an open question as to where he might get arrested first - US or China?

Although both establishments will continue to promulgate the cover-up, it may well get to the point where a sacrificial-lamb needs to be offered up ... Same as it did with Anthrax (Bruce Ivins) seven years after the event - when the FBI could no longer suppress the fact that it was a scientist-orchestrated event.

Note: Chinese embassy doc posted on Twitter by [@Mr Andersson](#).