Fear-mongering

An old standard employed by media & politicians for yonks, it took off as a tactic with scientists in the aftermath of 911/Anthrax. This is how it was employed ...

- Life in the Hotspots
- Zoonotic Crossover Argument
- Zoonati's Evidence

Life in the Hotspots

Our erstwhile *Experts* love pumping up the fear factor. It's a simple equation: Fear-mongering = $ squared

Worked spectacularly well with the 911 Anthrax lab-escape - now look what we've got - Covid-19! - the fear-monger's wet-dream...

In the throes of manifesting that spank-bank imaging, our heroes are still not satiated. They dream of more perversions... *bigger ones*:

This pandemic has been very severe, Mike Ryan huffs, reaches for the tissue box - *But this is not necessarily the big one*.

Back in the *real world* for a minute, how likely are zoonotic crossover events, in fact? According to THE Data?

I'll examine that data soon, but

Growing up in a country town, with a father who liked hunting-shooting-fishing, i did a lot of those. We'd go on 10-day camping trips up the Lachlan River. Shooting pigs (feral pests) was the main point, but also kangaroos (dog meat - human food if we didn't get anything better), ducks (better human food), water rats (for the fur). That's a full on *viral Hotspot* existence including *bush-meat* - literally cooked *at-the-coal-face*.

Then came home to 20 backyard chooks and racing pigeons (with attendant rats and mice and bats). It was my job to clean the shit out of those sheds - *without a mask*.

I fucking hated that job - breathing in shit-dust... it's definitely not good for your lungs - *do* wear a mask when you have to do it.

But i don't remember being plagued by deadly coronaviruses. Neither i, or anybody, had ever heard of them until SARS-1. Now they're everywhere supposedly. (edit: later i learned the whole bat-virus industry was invented by an Aussie-Gov-Org, CSIRO, in Geelong. By CSIRO's *Head of Science*, to be precise, right before SARS-1 happened: Linfa Wang (aka *The Dark Knight*)

These days, i still live in the countryside, go fishing, camping, though i'm not into shooting anymore. Still got backyard chooks, & wild animals roaming around, bats galore ... so a lifetime of continuous *hotspot inhabitation*. Should that be *banned* now? In the *New Normal*?

Despite the talk of bulldozers, cattle-farms, and mining causing Covid, according to Daszak et al's actual theory - it's people leading my kind of lifestyle who are committing the highest risk behaviour.

Interestingly, so are those living indigenous lifestyles. Take my Bunun neighbour, A-de, for example - our shared farming/hunting experiences is kinda how we clicked. He's got a living-larder of farm animals at his house - goes hunting for wild pigs and goats. Then get this - traps/eats civets! I also trap them! (released far away) Because they raid chicken sheds - for the eggs mostly, but also chickens - daily if you don't trap them. Never saw A-de wear a mask while doing any of the above. How high risk is that?! Quickly, ban it now - for the sake of humanity!

What Daszak et al are actually promoting, apart from more money for themselves, is a *War on Indigenous Lifestyle.* You'll never hear them say that directly - but that's what it amounts to.

His solution:

A modern, ***well run factory farm*** *...usually (has) better biosecurity, as people don't want to lose all their animals – and income.*

No farmer wants to *lose all their animals* - and income - i would have thought - or you mean *their income* doesn't matter - just that of the subset of bosses of *modern, well run factory farms*, paying good money for *Biosecurity Experts*, like yourself?

Daszak laments: *What you've got now is a lot of* ***amateur farmers****, who have converted old properties that are pretty* ***unsecure*** *from a disease* ***point of view****.*

Ok, so now it's a *War-on-Hippies, as well!* In fact a war on Alternative Lifestyles in general - anyone wanting to get less reliant on an increasingly centralized system.

So what's left in Daszak's ideal world of biosecurity? When you cancel indigenous-alternative lifestyles? Apart from massive funding for biosecurity experts?

As per *THE Science* dogma, all roads lead to *Data Centralization* - with themselves at the centre.

Zoonotic Crossover Argument

Daszak's favourite claim (edit - *favourite* of course is: *whoever disagrees with me is a conspiracy theorist* - so second favourite ...) is:

We can safely estimate that between one and seven million people are infected with bat coronaviruses each year (in South East Asia alone).

People are infected, so the story goes, *on a daily basis.. by undiscovered viruses in wildlife in* ***emerging disease hotspots****.*

Why are they *emerging*? The *hotspots* are the same as they ever were - the only *emerging* being done is by the Zoonati.

Any one of these could be the next ***'Disease X'*** *– potentially even more disruptive and lethal than COVID-19.*

Indeed. So, 7mil divided by 365 = 19 178 potential *Disease Xs* every day!

The Message is:

Be afraid. Zoonotic crossover events are lurking/happening around every corner, in every human interaction with animals - from bats to backyard chickens. A *Disease X* like Covid was always ready to explode - which we warned you about - btw. Now get ready for the next one ...

But we're all to blame – we talked about pandemics professionally, but we should have shouted louder. Some people did, but they were called ***scaremongerers****, accused of hyping it up.* ***Look at where we are now****."*

Don't be too hard on yourself Pete - you *shouted* long n loud from the world's loudest platforms. You totally out-shouted the other voices (Prof Ebright) warning that *your* behaviour was bound to unleash a pandemic. You did your absolute best. When the history of Covid is written - no-one can take that away from you.

On the upside, *where you are now* is a rich-getting-richer WHO Celebrity Scientist - which is not a bad going for a boy from Bangor University ...

Furthermore, if you get your way, there's still plenty more where that came from ...

A pandemic such as this one isn't a once-in-a-lifetime tragedy.

The scariest thing is how confident you are that people won't find out the truth. Or is that you don't care, coz you have the power to side-line them even if they do ....?

So what are the Zoonati's main points:

(a): Any one of those 20k crossover events per day caused Covid.

(b): Shut up (if you're a non-Zoonati)

(c) *The big lesson to learn from this one is: we have to* ***spend the money*** *to properly prepare now.*

Give us *one or two billion dollars* to transition the world to a *new-normal-lockdown-compliant-get-your-6-monthly-jab-surveillance mentality* - whilst we collect & engineer even more deadly diseases at the Bat Lab.

That's just the *free-world* entry-level version. Centralize global control. Hands up any globo-politicians that would like to wield that kind of data/authority over the masses ... *going forward*?

We estimate a nine to one return on investment, ***at least****...and that's without including the cost of Covid.*

That's a 900% return for investors into Daszak/WIV Inc! Wow, sounds tempting.

The problem is that it's hard (for EcoHealth/WIV) to convince people to fund a big project that would cost one or two billion dollars when these truly global pandemics are not common. (super-rare actually - we'll get there soon)

I worry that we're not going to change.

Don't worry! It's changing. You've irreversibly changed the world Pete! How many of us can say that?

Zoonati's Evidence

So how did our intrepid bat hunters arrive at that *7-mil-zoonotic-crossover-events-per-year* figure ... that all their arguments are based on?

Daszak: *In **October 2015**, we collected serum samples from 218 residents in four villages in Jin-ning County, Yunnan province, China.*
*As a control, we also collected 240 serum samples from random blood donors in 2015 in **Wuhan**, Hubei Province more than 1000 km away from Jin-ning (Fig. 1A) and where inhabitants have a **much lower likelihood** of contact with bats due to its urban setting.* (Lol - Daszak was so sure an outbreak wouldn't occur in Wuhan that he used it as a control group.)

From that *experiment*, no people in Wuhan tested positive (according to their arbitrary cut-off threshold) but six people supposedly tested positive to bat virus antibodies - harmless ones.

*Importantly, 20 (9.1%) participants **witnessed bats flying** close to their houses.* (Whoopee-fucking-doo - anyone living a rural environment anywhere in the world will *witness bats flying*)

Here's the interesting thing - the six samples deemed 'positive' according to the cut-off line, only reacted with the recombined WIV viruses from *the receptor binding domains (RBD) of the spike protein (S) from SARS-CoV, bat SARSr-CoVs Rp3, WIV1, and SHC014".*

Biotech expert Yuri Deigin took a look at their methodology - he thinks it's suss - but whatever, let's say it's true - there were 6 positives - not that surprising since the ingredients for the WIV viruses were collected from the same area.

The difference is, according to EcoHealth/WIV's report, **none of them felt sick** or remembered being sick from the natural virus - nor did they spread it to others. Compared to WIV's recombined GoF viruses which are designed to make people sick and spread to others.

Even Bat Lady admits *the majority of bat viruses are harmless*. The only extant known bat viruses in the world today that aren't harmless, are the ones concocted at WIV. And Covid. What does that tell you?

Buried in the report was the admission that:

*(Zoonotic) spillover is a **relatively rare** event....(T)o date, **no evidence** of direct transmission of SARSr-CoVs from bats to people has been reported.*

I've railed about *no evidence* being synonymous with blocking the discovery of evidence, by no-one can accuse WIV/EHA of that on this occasion - they've collected 16, 000+ bat virus samples from all the world. But still, *no evidence of direct transmission*.

WIV/EcoHealth then got funding to conduct a second, bigger study in which *0.6% tested positive for bat coronaviruses.* (all harmless)

The authors finally admit: *The low seroprevalence observed in this study suggests that bat coronavirus spillover is a* ***rare event****.*

Not even *relatively rare* anymore - just *rare*. In fact:

We ***did not find evidence supporting a direct relationship between bat contact and bat coronavirus*** *sero-positivity in the human population.*

Therefore: it's so *rare* that there is no evidence of it ever happening. You can't get *rarer* than that.

Remember, these studies showing *no evidence of direct transmission of SARSr-CoVs from bats to people* are the entire basis for Daszak's claim that both Covid and *the next Disease X*, and so on... are lurking around every corner. That's a clear case of (a) fear-mongering (b) academic fraud

So how the hell does Daszak keep getting away with these ludicrous fringe-theory claims?

Why does he get supported to the hilt by other scientists and mainstream media outlets?

It's the same old answer that we keep running up against. It's gotta be either:

(a) colossal stupidity, or

(b) corruption