

# Hatching the plan

(work in progress)

The Jan 2020 Meetings would set the One Science lie in motion. So what were the contents?

Firstly, it wasn't a single meeting, it was a 3-day retreat.

Key points:

Day 1: Fact Finding presentations. What happened? What do we know - what do we not know?

This is pivotal to how future discussions would have gone.

Scenario A:

WIV was a homegrown GoF event. Involving international partners EHA,

**Action:** Go into full cover-up mode. Wild animal, possibly imported. The Americans did it with Anthrax. Do that. Our international partners will sell it their end

Which was what we saw. Seems likely.

Scenario B:

WIV was working closely with US/Singapore/German/French labs, so it's possible a foreign scientist carried it in. Danielle Anderson was the last foreigner at WIV, right at the time SARS-2 is estimated to have originated. Did she carry it in as an asymptomatic/mild carrier?

Scenario C:

Was it engineered outside China, by Baric, then smuggled into WIV by an *international scientist*, say a Daszak? Knowledge of this GoF breakthrough was being rumoured through a closed-circle of virology/vaxology cohorters. *Problem is we can't do anything with it here due to over-regulation, but trust me ... this is big.*

This would explain a few things. WIV is complicit, but not the source of the leak - could have been a stored at WIV - then passed out to a lower level lab.

Two of WIV's management say

Yuan Zhiming: *I want to emphasize that .... the Wuhan Institute of Virology has never designed, made or leaked the novel coronavirus.*

Wang Yanyi (vid, 2:23): *It's **certainly impossible** the virus was made by, or leaked by, the Wuhan Institute of Virology.*

This is a windmill tilt, but, they both omit the word *stored*. Is that deliberate? We didn't make it or leak it, but were we storing it - smuggled in by the Bat Pack ... ?

Scenario D: The Military Games: If it's a questionable coincidence that a super-contagious bat virus originated next-door to the world's largest Bat Lab, then the Military Games happening around the same time it took off is also curious.

All of these scenarios would explain the co-ordinated response from the experts that we saw. Which has to be explained first by any theory.

What made the highly-paid network of international world-leading expert scientists/journals betray every principle of science?

There must be a reason. They can't all be that stupid. Either way it's a major problem.

Day 2: Narrative consolidation - same as SARS - wuhan market - let the experts decide -

Day 3: Organization, mobilization of plan, Prox-O/Nature, Lancet Statement co-ordination, Feb 1 Teleconf, Tedros

---

Revision #8

Created 22 April 2022 07:35:26 by dulanndrift

Updated 8 June 2022 09:10:08 by dulanndrift