Taking the Politics Out

Our world-leading science experts say a lot of risible things, but the oft repeated 'taking the politics out' is high on the list. It's a good example of how scientists grant themselves a license to lie - for the common-good.

- Expert Liar
- WHO veteran - Westmead
- SARS-1 Lab-exit

Expert Liar

by **dulan drift**, Wednesday, June 23, 2021, 09:06 - first published on Formosahut - thanks Dan

Dominic Dwyer was the Aussie rep on the WHO/CCP investigation into the origin of Covid. Along with Eddie 'Honorary' Holmes, and Wang Linfa, Dominic has been our expert in that crucial interface space between the CCP and the public.

Here's what you promised:

Dominic Dwyer (Dec 2, 2020): *As a doctor and a scientist, I think politics complicates getting the answers. So I'd rather keep that aside. ..1:20: Our work as scientists is separate from politicians so we will try to* ***leave the politics out*** *of this and let other people argue the toss over that.*

Ok, I'm *other people*, can i argue the toss, Dominic?

For starters, the beauty of the WHO investigation is that all the contestants (by invitation only) were vetted by the highest echelons of the CCP - a full-on surveillance state - you can't get better vetting than that.

So there goes a shit-load of legwork for you, right there.

Deductionism tells you: those persons approved by that regime are corrupt (and/or incredibly gullible).

The WHO investigation was a *transparent* sham from the get-go - so why did all these eminent scientists sign up to perpetrate a cover-up? To shield a totalitarian regime? It's crazy right?

People do things for a reason - so let's take a look at what makes Dominic's centrifuge spin...

WHO veteran - Westmead

Westmead Hospital website: *Leadership Team:.. Professor Dwyer is a microbiologist and Director of our Pathology West network. He trained in microbiology (virology and infectious diseases) at Westmead Hospital's Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research (ICPMR). In 2009, Professor Dwyer was appointed Director and Senior Medical Virologist for ICPMR's Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology Laboratory Services.*

So Westmead through & through. Studied there - rose to the top - still there. The ultimate insider - culturally speaking.

Dominic is also a colleague of Eddie Holmes at University of Sydney. There have been a lot of organizations that have disgraced themselves for covering up the origin of Covid - WHO, CDC, Columbia University, Wikipedia, CNN, Facebook, The Guardian, Google, The ABC, Nature, Lancet, etc, etc, etc... let one hundred flowers bloom... Sydney Uni is a heavy hitter on that list.

The Conversation: *(Dwyer) is a member of the WHO Global Outbreak Alert Response Network (GOARN), and the Sydney Institute for Emerging Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity at Sydney University.*

GOARN was basically WHO's pre-investigation taskforce for squashing the lab-leak theory.

The Guardian: *Dwyer has worked with the WHO before, spending six months in Beijing in 2003 at the height of the SARS outbreak.*

Those six months were just his first stint working with Beijing's CDC. From then on, Dwyer and Westmead formed a deep relationship with the CCP's medical institutions that has extended to this present time. When you lie down with dogs...

Westmead (where Dwyer is part of the leadership team) is the organization that has linked Dwyer, WHO, and the Chinese CDC since the first SARS outbreak of 2003.

This 2007 paper lists the typical smorgasbord of collaborators:

Beijing Ditan Hospital, **People's Republic of China**
Capital University of Medical Sciences Affiliated Beijing YouAn Hospital, **People's Republic of China**
Department of Microbiology, Peking University Health Science Center, **People's Republic of China**
Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology Laboratory Services, Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research, **Westmead Hospital**, NSW, Australia

That’s a whole lot of contracts signed with the People’s Republic of China - considering they’re a fascist, totalitarian regime. Remember, these are not freebies - they’re well-paid gigs - over decades - welcome to the CCP-sponsored Gravy Train. That's on top of what you're already pulling from the public purse - which is a lot.

How many of these invites do you reckon Dominic would’ve landed if he’d said ‘boo’ against totalitarianism?

But Dom didn't simply stay quiet, he took on the mantle as one of the CCP's most vocal scio-suckholes on the world-stage.

Then there's this:

Dominic's time there coincides with a double lab-leak of SARS in 2004 - what was up with that?

SARS-1 Lab-exit

Pub: Wed, **June 23, 2021**, 10:33

After the SARS outbreak of 2003 had died out, there were two lab-escapes in Beijing.

WHO: *The outbreak .. began in April (2004).. when two graduate students working at a laboratory at the **National Institute of Virology in Beijing**, where experiments using the **live SARS coronavirus** were conducted in February and March, became infected with the virus.*

According to published papers with Beijing labs, Dwyer was ***in*** a Beijing lab, working on SARS, around that time.

NIH Article: *Associated Press reported (that) the authorities did not know yet whether any **foreigners had been carrying out medical research in the facility** and had since left the country*.

17 years later, we still don't know. Well, some people do, but they're not telling. Dwyer is likely one of them. He was thereabouts. He published one SARS paper with Chinese researchers in Dec 2003, then another in July 2004.

W. Ian Lipkin (co-author of the infamous Proximal Origin paper) was right in the thick of it. He published a paper in Feb 2004 with the *Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Beijing*. Was this part of the research with live SARS viruses referred to by the NIH article?

Following the lab-leaks, two separate ones within a month, there was a WHO investigation. Sound familiar?

Bob Dietz, WHO spokesman: *We have a team of two or three **international experts** that's arriving in a day or two. They are going to go into the labs with Ministry of Health people and find out what happened here.*
*We've been told we'll have **full access**, be able to test all the surfaces, interview people who worked there, and look at documentation to find out what was being done.*

For comparison, that's the same song-sheet Dominic and other 'investigators' sang from recently about the Covid WHO/CCP investigation:

Dwyer: *I think the access, my understanding is it's going to be **very good**. Obviously the Chinese have done a vast amount of work in trying to understand what happened so it's a matter of reviewing what they have done so far.*

Dietz (2004): *We're **not releasing the names of the experts** yet, but once you see the names **you'll recognize them**. They will be **international experts** from the relevant disciplines.*

Did they ever release the names? I can't find them. The one WHO article i found reffed the WHO report, as did another article, but when you click on the links all you get is a message saying WHO has "revamped" their website.

Unsurprisingly, the investigation turned out to be useless.

WHO Dr Angela Merianos: *The investigation conducted to date has yet to identify a single source of infection or single procedural error at the institute. Consequently the route or routes of transmission are not known at this time.*

WHO: *It also **remained unclear** how two researchers at the institute became infected since they were **not even working with the SARS virus**. All those who became infected in the latest outbreak were people working at the institute and people who came into contact with them.*

It's easy to blame two graduate students, but *they were not even working with the SARS virus*. Tragically, the mother of one of the students became infected and died after she was visited by her daughter in Anhui.

So how did they become infected? Logic tells you that it goes higher up the chain - to people who *were* working with the virus.

Do either Dominic or Lipkin have information on this chain of infection or the dead-end WHO investigation? We don't know. We only know they were in Beijing around that time working on SARS.

At the very least, given their proximal origin to the 2004 outbreak, it's plain deceitful that they were leading the charge on denying Covid could have escaped from a lab - knowing full-well how easily it happened before.

(Also makes you wonder - was the original SARS outbreak of 2003 a lab accident?)