

Sleeping with the Enemy

Covid showcased a frightening willingness by our top experts to betray every principle of science in order to protect a totalitarian regime. (paid for by you)

- [In the Name of the Holy Science](#)
- [Cryptic Manner](#)
- [The Wokey-Pokey](#)
- [Cold-chain hypothesis](#)

In the Name of the Holy Science

Following the accumulation of a mountain of evidence by unpaid netizens, the fattest rats started jumping ship in Mar, 2021, a year after the event.

Tedros went from: *China's commitment to transparency and supporting other countries .. is.. impressive, and **beyond words**.*

To:

(Mar31,2021) **Although** the team has concluded that a laboratory leak is the least likely hypothesis, this requires **further investigation**.

In May, Ralph Baric, GoF bat-virus WIV collaborator, engineer suspect, who'd supported WIV to the hilt, suddenly released a statement saying:

theories of accidental release from a lab .. remain viable.

Fauci soon followed with his own ratty qualifications.

These subtle acknowledgements of the bleeding obvious sound innocuous, but they came off the back of a year of co-ordinated vilification by scientists of scientists - for THE Science.

God help you if you were a *non-scientist*.

You were dismissed as irrelevant, outright BANNED by media from having any input into the seismic shift in humanity currently unfolding.

Rule 1: There is only one God - who's pronoun is the sovereign authority of Expertism on Everything (scientists)

Rule 2: See rule 1

Existential threat is an over-used media buzz-word - but - we've got a live one!

Our freedoms being permanently deleted by The X-perts - due to: a virus caused/covered-up by The X-perts. Crime of the Century happening in real time!

*But all we hear now is radio silence**

The Backflip Olympics happened around the time as the normal Olympics - but less widely covered.

Intriguingly, it came after Team WHO had completed their investigation on behalf of the world and mounted a mega-platformed campaign to sell it.

Main point: a lab-leak is extremely unlikely and the X-perts had closed the lid on it.

Dr. Peter Ben Embarek, WHO mission **head** declared, it was "not in the hypotheses that we will suggest for future studies".

All the others WHO-X-perts echoed those remarks

In other words, the lab-leak was dead to WHO. Move on.

As such, this subtle signalling from Tedros was actually a blaring betrayal for those who can translate Scio-pol expert-speak.

(Aside: Whatever you might think about Tedros, he's a cunning old fuck - always survives - somehow - though his friends don't. How on earth can someone who was praising the CCP transparency to high-heaven, who ensured Covid escaped into the world by advising against border closures - how can that person keep his public-funded job? Not only did he keep it, he was elected unopposed (!) for another term.)

Tedros's subtle signalling sent shockwaves through the scientific community, the media, and politicians. It precipitated a quiet rush of the experts trying to distance themselves - from themselves.

Paraphrase: *It's not that we ever dismissed a lab-leak entirely - extremely unlikely doesn't mean impossible - we never said that - merely there was no evidence.*

(Edit: there are some more nuanced ones evolving now: *we were afraid - of political repercussions so we had to lie/play politics*. Same scientists who kept insisting they were *taking the politics out*. Or - *the cover-up was caused by a small group of scientists that managed to create a mass-illusion that duped us all - the so-called dumb fkn idiot defense.*)

Caught in the precarious position of having to re-position, whilst desperately trying not to offend the CCP, or upset the Gravy Train, the X-perts pulled it off with aplomb. They were helped by a compliant media that banned publication of dissent.

Cryptic Manner

Despite the exodus of sci-X-perts from the good-ship WIV, a few notables decided to hang tough with the CCP.

Double or nothing. To the bitter end.

This is not an exhaustive list - only from those Celebrity Scientists we've looked at:

Daszak (WIV/EcoHealth)

Holmes - Sydney Uni - Prox-O co-author

Andersen - Scripps - Prox-O lead-author

Linfa Wang*

Dwyer (WHO/Westmead)

Nature (Mega-science platform)

That makes you wonder, what does the CCP have on these dudes? It can't only be money. How do you get someone to say this:

Dwyer: Now **of course** it doesn't mean that the outbreak actually started in Wuhan. .. It's an easy assumption just to say, 'It's all in Wuhan'. (AKA Occam's Razor) .. It could have been brought into Wuhan either from .. other parts of Asia, or indeed, other parts of the world. (2:45) ..(A) number of detailed studies need to be done to work out was the virus in Wuhan in an unknown manner or **cryptic manner** .. could it have been in other parts of the world? (3:25)

Cryptic manner - that's a good one Dom. Strangely, i agree with you. GoF is a western technology imported into China. That's cryptic. Why on earth would we be teaching totalitarian regimes how to make bio-weapons?

In that sense, it's origin is *not* from China.

But was Covid physically made outside China then shipped/smuggled to Wuhan. Note: smuggling deadly viruses across borders, as crazy as it sounds, was common practice/knowledge amongst the international virology community:

Kristian Andersen: *In several cases, **international scientists .. transported** (ebola) **samples back to their home countries for further research**, in many cases **without permission or knowledge** of the affected nations (Heymann et al., 2016).*

Aka: smuggling

The Bat Pack are *international scientists*. Could have come from US, Aus, Singapore network very easily. Let's not rule that out.

It would help explain the scale of deceit. The lengths Dominic/WHO have gone to.

China has called for an investigation of US bio-security practices in any further WHO Investigation - good idea.

US *is* the home of GoF. Logical place to search. Search Aus/CSIRO/Syd Uni, Singapore while you're at it - all those cohorts were cahooting on making bat viruses (a) transmissible to humans (b) more deadly

Wang Wenbin, Chinese Foreign Ministry Spokesperson: *I wonder when the United States plans to invite WHO experts to investigate the UNC bio-lab and USAMRIID? We suggest that the United States stop slinging mud at others and instead find out what happened in its own labs first.*

Hard to argue with that. Which explains the eerie silence from the US side.

The Wokey-Pokey

Disturbingly, some of the (very, very few) scientists who raised the possibility of a lab-event are now the ones making excuses for all their colleagues who took the money then sold the world out. The excuse: *they were afraid, the poor darlings*.

The gist is: *We would have told the truth to help save the world in its moment of need, but we couldn't, coz we were busy being a pack of craven cowards acting politically to protect our financial interests. But next time*

The Covid Atrocity runs deeper than a small group of scientists. It's a brazen lie to say otherwise. Another Bait&Switch by truth-adverse scientists - who - God forbid - be subjected to an investigation by outsiders.

The messaging is: *Everything is fine, WHO's fine, all the nice scientists who did nothing are fine ...*

Ya put some Eelites in!

Ya take some Eelites out

Ya say - Mass-Illusion - then ya shake-it-all-about

Ya do the Wokey-Pokey then ya turn around ... !

That's what it's all about! (clap clap)

Yeah-no.

The fact that not a single university or research organization on the planet spoke up when it mattered is *incontrovertible* evidence that THE Science culture is rotten to the core.

It cannot be fixed internally.

Any attempt to do so is: scientists acting politically to protect their highly-paid, unaccountable world - same as it ever was.

Science can be saved - but not by scientists. First stop is get the ethicists (philosophers/sociologists) in!

Explain to scientists what 'truth' means (spoiler: doesn't mean *license-to-lie* coz of my self-serving idea of a *higher-truth*). From then on any tradie can tell you: ya gonna need to re-establish the foundation then the rebuild can begin, mate.

Cold-chain hypothesis

The Guardian, the same people that brought us Peter Daszak, also proudly presented Dominic Dwyer. (Along with Nature, they're all in on The Great Obfuscation.)

Dwyer: *Then there was the “cold chain” hypothesis. This is **the idea** the virus might have **originated from elsewhere** via the farming, catching, processing, transporting, refrigeration or freezing of food. Was that food **ice-cream**, fish, wildlife meat? We don't know.*

So we're *idea-engineering* now? Why are you even talking about it Dominic? It's a fringe theory.

Dwyer: *But to what extent did it contribute to its spread? Again, we don't know.*

Again - why are you talking about it? Would it have anything to do with *not talking* about the elephant in the room - that it may have leaked from a bio-defense lab?

Dwyer: *Several “cold chain” products present in the Wuhan market were not tested for the virus. .. Environmental sampling in the market showed viral surface contamination.*

As it would since there was a cluster outbreak there - after the original cases - that had no connection to the market. A fact that you were fully aware of.

Dwyer: *This may indicate the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 through infected people ...*

May indicate? We can safely say ‘extremely likely’ since there was an outbreak there. Where are you going with this?

.... (O)r contaminated animal products and **“cold chain”** products.

Oh, still on your *cold chain* hypothesis.

Dwyer: *Investigation of “cold chain” products and virus survival at low temperatures is still underway.*

I bet it is. Which, as you mentioned many many times, will take “many many years” (plus a Gravy Train full of many many money) and hopefully get things even further out in the weeds - till - no-one knows/cares what happened and we all *move on* to the Next Disease X.

Same as Anthrax, SARS-1, MERS. AIDS

Here's my cold-chain hypothesis:

Your involvement in the WHO SARS-1 Origin investigation - went cold - due to you covering-up details of its origin. But why take that incredible risk?

The answer might be in your own words - with a slight tweak

Dwyer: *Then there was the “cold chain” hypothesis. This is the idea the virus might have **originated from elsewhere** via the farming, catching, processing, transporting, **lab experimenting on**. refrigeration or freezing of wildlife **viruses by scientists**.*

Is that what happened Dominic? Caught in the caves of SE Asia, farmed in lab cages (cruelly), GoFFed up, refrigerated/frozen, in a Bat Lab offshore, then smuggled into Wuhan as frozen samples?

I'm starting to warm up to your Cold-Chain, after all.

Or am i over-complicating it - you simply fell for a nasty honey-trap somewhere along the journey? Now you'd say or do anything to appease the CCP?

Must have been something big - to make you hang in there so long as world-expert-salesperson for a totalitarian regime.

If you want to come clean, Dominic, it's not too late. Well it *is* too late for the dead & the cyber-surveillance *War on Freedom*, but to give you the last word:

(We can) continue to learn from our experiences to improve how we investigate the next pandemic.