

# Listening to the (WHO) Experts

How a large group of 'respected' science experts traded on the public's trust to spread a pack of lies.

- Downplaying Covid
- Extremely Unlikely

# Downplaying Covid

Dominic Dwyer (Jan 29, 2020): *This virus is probably **not too much of a problem**, but you know, we've got to monitor. .. I mean, we know that there are over 4,000 cases in China, most of which will be that province (Hubei), but when you consider what the population of Wuhan is ..10 million or so people, the likelihood of people being infected is still **extremely low**.*

ELEANOR HALL/ABC: *Some people inside China are saying that there is actually a greater spread of the virus than we're being told.*

Dominic Dwyer: ***We've got to remember** that it is wintertime in China. They're going through their **normal influenza season**. So just because people say they're seeing people who are sick, doesn't mean they have this new coronavirus. .. (S)ome of the reporting and the **kind of social media stuff** is a bit **over the top**.*

Dominic, your support of the CCP's version of Covid on the highest of official public-funded platforms was/is a bit over the top.

That's a pity - coz if you could have warned the world earlier that we may be dealing with a juiced-up super-virus escaped from a lab!

That would've put the world on its toes.

Early intervention would have shut down Covid before it escaped into the world.

Worked in Taiwan. Who - did the opposite of what - WHO/you - advised.

If it worked there - could've worked everywhere.

(Can't now of course. *Too late mate*. Which is where the culpability comes creeping in ... )

Such a shame ... We could have all avoided the scary rise of the bio-state vax-regime. Never would have needed to exist.

Or was that why you down-played it? You see that as the *silver-lining*?

ELEANOR HALL: *Australia's government is looking .. to evacuate those Australians trapped in Wuhan, but the **WHO is warning foreign governments** against pulling their citizens out. What do you think is the right approach?*

Dominic Dwyer: *My personal opinion is ... they're probably actually **okay**. ..I think the sort of rushing in of planes to pull people out, I don't think helps sort of **allay the general anxiety of***

***the population.***

Hmm, *Allay the general anxiety of the population* - so that was your goal? As a WHO expert, is that science or playing politics, Dominic?

WHO also told us:

- There is *no evidence of human-to-human transition.*
- Covid is *not an international emergency.*
- *Don't close the borders* with China.
- *Don't wear masks.*
- *China's commitment to transparency* is .. *impressive, and **beyond words.***

Scary truth: When the big moment came, we're all relying on our highly-paid experts ... you/WHO down-played it.

Thereby causing a disaster to erupt.

We've also *got to remember* that you were in Beijing in 2004 when SARS-1 escaped twice. So you must have known that a lab-experiment-gone-wrong was a strong possibility. If you had reported that information, raised the alarm, the virus would have been stamped out early - when it was still possible. That would have saved 4 million lives and averted a global catastrophe. (edit: 15mil n counting as of May 2022)

But no, you did the opposite.

Your advice allowed the virus the crucial space it needed to spread around the world. Good job.

Are you ok being held accountable for that?

(Full disclosure: i got the severity wrong as well in the beginning - but that was back in Jan 2020 when i still listened to the experts on the ABC.)

# Extremely Unlikely

Dwyer, 22 Feb 2021: *As I write, I am in hotel quarantine in Sydney, after returning from Wuhan, China. There, I was the Australian representative on the international World Health Organization's (WHO) investigation into the origins of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.*

Great - so what did you find out?

Dwyer (article heading): ***Extremely unlikely the virus escaped from a lab*** (Dominic's bold)

*The most politically sensitive option we looked at was the virus escaping from a laboratory. We concluded this was extremely unlikely.*

That's extremely extreme Dominic. Are you an extremist?

Dwyer: *We visited the Wuhan Institute of Virology, which is an impressive research facility, and looks to be run well, with due regard to staff health.*

That might be true - US intelligence reports did say three researchers were well cared for in hospital after becoming sick with Covid-like symptoms in Nov 2019.

Dwyer: *We spoke to the scientists there. **We heard** that scientists' blood samples, which are routinely taken and stored, were tested for signs they had been infected.*

You heard? What - CCP whispers style? Why didn't you test them yourself?

Dwyer: **No evidence** of antibodies to the coronavirus was found (so we heard). *We looked at their (CCP-run) biosecurity **audits**. **No evidence**.*

The old *No Evidence* line. That's like knocking on the door of your chief suspect in a murder investigation and when he says "Fuck off, dickhead", you say he must be innocent, coz there's "no evidence". Case closed!

When asked if the CCP might have been withholding evidence, Dwyer has this neat answer:

Dwyer: (timestamp 2:25) *(T)hey were **pretty open** with **what they gave**. But of course as to whether they hid things - **by definition we wouldn't know**.*

Dominic, there's a stack of stuff they *hid*. *Hide, by definition, means cover-up*. Access to the lab's data-bank of studied/stored viruses were hidden for one thing! As were sewerage and blood samples from around the time of the first outbreak. These are not *unknown unknowns* - it's simply

the case that they said: *No. Report what we tell you to report.*

Which you did. With embarrassing gusto.

Dwyer: *I think one of the features of these WHO missions is the people come in without their national viewpoints, biases or the politics. That's one of the **advantages of WHO**.*

Huge advantage if you're the CCP: Why bother with democracy when you can have one *unified* viewpoint?